

Stone Check Wall in Nakina Village (Hanspeter Liniger)

Stone Check Walls and Check Dams for Soil and Water Conservation (India)

DESCRIPTION

Stone Check Dams/Walls, Retainment Walls, and a Water Diversion Wall has been constructed in Nakina Village and Nakina Community Forest to help protect their settlements, agriculture land, forest land, and preserve the hilly landscape. These structures serve to reduce the runoff velocity (lowering the rate of erosion and gullying in steep slope channels) and increase infiltration for groundwater recharge.

1. The technology is found in both natural and human environments (forest and settlement areas)

2. Main Characteristics: A check dam or check wall is constructed in a loose or active gully or a rill (shallow channel) that threatens to enlarge, or anywhere on a slope where there is a danger of scour from running water. The structures lower the velocity of flow. In Nakina porous check walls, check dams, and retainment walls were made out of stone gathered from the surrounding area. A porous check dam releases a portion of flow through the structure, decreases the head of flow over the spillway, and decreases the dynamic and hydrostatic forces against the check dam. Porous check dams are simple and more economical for construction.

Once stones are collected they are cut into suitable sizes and surfaces ("dressing" of stones). The site where the technology is to be constructed is then cleared and, for check dams, the sides are sloped 1:1 (this simply refers to the ratio of the rise and run of the slope, so 1:1 means you'll have a 45 degree slope for your excavation). This is also known as the angle of repose, where the granular material of the embankment will be stable and not slump from its own weight. The base of the dam should be around 70 cm thick if it is 1 meter high. The bed of gully is excavated for foundation and dry stones are packed from that level.

3. Purposes/functions: Interrupts the flow of water and flattens the gradient of a channel, thereby reducing the velocity and inducing infiltration rather than eroding the channel. These structures not only slow flow velocity but also to distribute flows across vegetation. Despite some sedimentation resulting behind the dam, small cracks and porous spaces in the holes of the stones allow some sediment to flow through and the finer particles fill the gaps and strengthen the structure. Check dams can also be designed to create small reservoirs.

4. Major activities include identifying the appropriate site of installation, collection of construction materials, technical planning of the structure dimensions and design, manual labor, and maintenance.

5. Benefits/impacts: These structures decelerate runoff and accelerates groundwater recharging by storing water and facilitating infiltration of water into the soil

6. Like/Dislike: Advantages

Inexpensive and relatively easy to install given local building materials and labor availability
 Reduce velocity, prevent gully erosion and cause a high proportion of the sediment load in

runoff to settle out, preventing downstream damage •When carefully located and designed, check dams can remain as permanent installations with very minor regrading

Disadvantages

•Many of these structures have a temporary nature, and need to reconstructed or removed

after significant damage •Removal or reconstruction may be a significant cost depending on the size and design •May kill grass linings in channels if the water level remains high after rainstorms or if there is significant sedimentation. •May create turbulence which erodes the channel banks.

•Clogging by organic material may be a problem and hinder the structure's function

OCATIO



Location: Nakina Village, Pithoragarh Bloc, Uttarakhand, India

No. of Technology sites analysed: 2-10 sites

Geo-reference of selected sites

- 0-reterence of Selec 80.17232, 29.62836 80.17278, 29.62889 80.17259, 29.62861 80.17299, 29.62902 80.17326, 29.62901 80.17538, 29.62405 80.17561, 29.62405 80.17634, 29.62495 80.176329, 62471

- 80.176, 29.62471 80.1758, 29.62448

Spread of the Technology: applied at specific points/ concentrated on a small area

In a permanently protected area?: Ja

Date of implementation: more than 50 years ago (traditional)

Type of introduction

- through land users' innovation 1
- as part of a traditional system (> 50 years) \checkmark during experiments/ research
- through projects/ external interventions 1



Check Dam reservoir in Nakina Community Forest (Jaclyn Bandy)

CLASSIFICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

Main purpose

- improve productionreduce, prevent, restore land degradation
- conserve ecosystem
- protect a watershed/ downstream areas in combination with other Technologies
 - preserve/ improve biodiversity

Purpose related to land degradation

restore/ rehabilitate severely degraded land

prevent land degradation

not applicable

reduce land degradation

adapt to land degradation

- reduce risk of disasters
- adapt to climate change/ extremes and its impacts
 mitigate climate change and its impacts
 create beneficial economic impact
 create beneficial social impact

Land use



Forest/ woodlands

Check wall in Nakina Village (Jaclyn Bandy)

- (Semi-)natural forests/ woodlands: subtropical dry forest natural vegetation. Management: Selective felling Tree types (deciduous): n.a.
 Dreducts and convices: Timber: Fuelwood, Grazing, browsing
- Products and services: Timber, Fuelwood, Grazing/ browsing, Nature conservation/ protection



Settlements, infrastructure - Settlements, buildings


Water supply

rainfed
 mixed rainfed-irrigated
 full irrigation

Degradation addressed



soil erosion by water - Wt: loss of topsoil/ surface erosion, Wg: gully erosion/ gullying, Wm: mass movements/ landslides, Wr: riverbank erosion, Wo: offsite degradation effects





biological degradation - Bc: reduction of vegetation cover, Bq: quantity/ biomass decline

water degradation - Hg: change in groundwater/aquifer level

SLM measures



structural measures - S3: Graded ditches, channels, waterways, S6: Walls, barriers, palisades, fences

SLM group

✓

- cross-slope measure
- water diversion and drainage
- surface water management (spring, river, lakes, sea)

TECHNICAL DRAWING

Technical specifications

None

None

None



Author: Jaclyn Bandy



Author: Jaclyn Bandy





Author: Jaclyn Bandy

Author: Jaclyn Bandy

ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE: ACTIVITIES, INPUTS AND COSTS

Calculation of inputs and costs

 Costs are calculated: per Technology unit (unit: 1. Small Check Dams 2. Large Check Walls 3. Water Diversion Wall 4. Bhind Check Walls/Retainment wall volume, length: 1. 5 units (3.5m) **Most important factors affecting the costs** Size of the check dam/check wall Frequency and intensity of the damage to the structures Labor availability

x 1.5m x 0.9m) 2. 5 units (8m x 1m x 2.7m) 3. 1 unit (115m x 0.65 x 0.95m) 4. 1 unit (100m x 1m x 1.5m))

- Currency used for cost calculation: INR
- Exchange rate (to USD): 1 USD = 70.0 INR
- Average wage cost of hired labour per day: 400 INR per head/day

Establishment activities

- 1. Nakina village built a long water diversion wall over +50 years ago that serves as a water channel, directing runoff away from settlements and towards the ravine (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon /dry season)
- 2. Within the ravine/gully in Nakina Village, there is a series of 5 large check walls that were established with the help of the Forest Department (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon /dry season)
- 3. There is a series of check walls/check dams in another gully that were established in 1952 above the Bhind Spring/Naula (on the opposite side of the village) to protect it and decrease runoff/further erosion (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon/dry season)
- 4. In December 2017 the Nakina Van Panchayat (community forest council) decided to construct 5 new check dams within the Nakina Forest, which lie in the upper catchment area of the Bhind Spring (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon/dry season)
- 5. For the establishment of all these structures, the community and technical assistants assessed the topography of the area, size of the gully, catchment area and runoff rate before establishing the check-dam. (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon/dry season)
- 6. The sites were selected and prepared by removing debris and other unsuitable material which would interfere with proper placement of the check dam/wall materials. (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon/dry season)

Establishment inputs and costs (per 1. Small Check Dams 2. Large Check Walls 3. Water Diversion Wall 4. Bhind Check Walls/Retainment wall)

| Specify input | Unit | Quantity | Costs per Unit (INR) | Total costs per input (INR) | % of costs borne by land users |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Labour | | • • | - | | - |
| Large Water Diversion Wall | person-days | 60.0 | 400.0 | 24000.0 | 20.0 |
| 5 Large Check Walls | person-days | 50.0 | 400.0 | 20000.0 | 20.0 |
| Bhind Spring Check Walls/Retainment Wall | person-days | 19.0 | 400.0 | 7600.0 | 50.0 |
| 5 Small Check Walls in Forest | person-days | 10.0 | 400.0 | 4000.0 | 100.0 |
| Equipment | | • • | - | | - |
| Crate Wire (15m x 2m x 2m) | Cum | 60.0 | 75.0 | 4500.0 | |
| Pick | pieces | 15.0 | 300.0 | 4500.0 | 100.0 |
| Shovel | pieces | 20.0 | 500.0 | 10000.0 | 100.0 |
| pharuwa (hoe) | pieces | 15.0 | 300.0 | 4500.0 | 100.0 |
| khanti (digging bar) | pieces | 10.0 | 1500.0 | 15000.0 | 100.0 |
| hammer (5kg) | pieces | 10.0 | 2000.0 | 20000.0 | 100.0 |
| chino (chisel) | pieces | 10.0 | 500.0 | 5000.0 | 100.0 |
| khukuri (knife) | pieces | 10.0 | 250.0 | 2500.0 | 100.0 |
| Plant material | | • • | - | | - |
| small hammer (0.5-1 kg) | pieces | 15.0 | 300.0 | 4500.0 | 100.0 |
| Construction material | | | | | |
| Rocks of various size and shape collected/excavated on site | | | | | |
| Small Check Walls in Forest (5) | cum | 23.625 | 200.0 | 4725.0 | 100.0 |
| Large Check Walls (5) | cum | 108.0 | 200.0 | 21600.0 | 20.0 |
| Large Water Diversion Wall (1) | cum | 71.0 | 200.0 | 14200.0 | 100.0 |
| Bhind Check Walls/Retainment Wall (5) | cum | 150.0 | 200.0 | 30000.0 | 50.0 |
| Other | | • | - | | - |
| Rocks of various size and shape collected/excavated on site | | | | | |
| Total costs for establishment of the Technology | | | | 196'625.0 | |
| Total costs for establishment of the Technology in USD | | | | 2'808.93 | |

Maintenance activities

1. Inspection of the check dam for rock displacement and erosion around the ends of the dam after each significant rainfall event (Timing/ frequency: Monsoon/ weekly)

2. Sediment accumulation is removed if it reaches a depth of 1/2 the original dam height (Timing/ frequency: Pre-monsoon/Monsoon)

3. Sometimes check dams are removed when their useful life is completed (Timing/ frequency: Annual inspections)

Maintenance inputs and costs (per 1. Small Check Dams 2. Large Check Walls 3. Water Diversion Wall 4. Bhind Check Walls/Retainment wall)

| Specify input | Unit | Quantity | Costs per Unit (INR) | Total costs per input (INR) | % of costs borne by land users |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Labour | | | | | |
| Reconstruction of damaged check dams | person- days/unit | 10.0 | 400.0 | 4000.0 | 100.0 |
| Removal of sediment | person- days/unit | 5.0 | 400.0 | 2000.0 | 100.0 |
| Equipment | | | | | |

| pick | pieces | 3.0 | 70.0 | 210.0 | 100.0 |
|--|--------|-----|--------|-------|-------|
| shovel | pieces | 3.0 | 42.0 | 126.0 | 100.0 |
| pharuwa (hoe) | pieces | 2.0 | 52.0 | 104.0 | 100.0 |
| khanti (digging bar) | pieces | 2.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 |
| hammer | pieces | 3.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| chino (chisel) | pieces | 2.0 | 75.0 | 150.0 | 100.0 |
| khukuri (knife) | pieces | 2.0 | 22.0 | 44.0 | 100.0 |
| small hammer (0.5-1kg) | pieces | 3.0 | 120.0 | 360.0 | 100.0 |
| Construction material | | | | | |
| Stones available at site locally | | | | | |
| Total costs for maintenance of the Technology | | | | | |
| Total costs for maintenance of the Technology in USD | | | 101.84 | | |

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Average annual rainfall

< 250 mm
 251-500 mm
 501-750 mm
 751-1,000 mm
 1,001-1,500 mm
 1,501-2,000 mm
 2,001-3,000 mm
 3,001-4,000 mm
 > 4,000 mm

Agro-climatic zone humid sub-humid

semi-arid

Specifications on climate


Average annual rainfall in mm: 1500.0 Monsoon- mid-June to mid-September; July and August are the rainiest months and the temperature is warm and moist; between 70-85% of the annual precipitation occurs in the monsoon season

Seasons

a. Winter or Cold weather (mid Dec. - mid March)

b. Summer or hot weather (mid March - mid June)
c. Season of general rains (South - West monsoon season)
d. Season of retreating monsoon (mid September to mid November)
Name of the meteorological station: India Meteorological
Department, Meteorological Centre Dehradun
The overall climatic condition in the Pithoragarh district is governed
by the southwest monsoon. It has a sub-tropical to temperate
climate, with three pronounced seasons; summer, winter, and
monsoon. The hilly terrain of the Himalayan region has snow cover
and is cold during winter with snowfall normally occurring during the
months of December to March.

Temperature- The temperature ranges from 0°C to 10°C in winter and from 8°C to 33°C in summer season. However, there is no meteorological observatory in the district. The account of the climate is based mainly on the records of the observations in the neighboring districts where similar meteorological conditions prevail. Variations in temperature are considerable from place to place and depend upon elevation as well as aspect. As the insolation is intense at high altitudes, in summer temperatures are considerably higher in the open than in the shade.



Species diversity Habitat diversity high high medium medium 1 1 low low CHARACTERISTICS OF LAND USERS APPLYING THE TECHNOLOGY Level of mechanization Market orientation Off-farm income Relative level of wealth less than 10% of all income subsistence (self-supply) very poor manual work mixed (subsistence/ 10-50% of all income ✓ poor animal traction 1 1 commercial) > 50% of all income average mechanized/ motorized commercial/ market rich very rich Sedentary or nomadic Individuals or groups Gender Age individual/ household children Sedentary women 1 youth Semi-nomadic groups/ community 🗸 men 1 1 middle-aged Nomadic cooperative employee (company, elderly government) Area used per household Scale Land ownership Land use rights < 0.5 ha ✓ small-scale state open access (unorganized) 0.5-1 ha medium-scale company communal (organized) 1 1 1-2 ha communal/ village large-scale leased 1 2-5 ha group individual 5-15 ha individual, not titled Water use rights 15-50 ha individual, titled open access (unorganized) 50-100 ha communal (organized) 1 100-500 ha leased 500-1,000 ha individual 1,000-10,000 ha > 10,000 ha

Access to services and infrastructure

| health | poor | |
|-------------------------------|------|---|
| education | poor | |
| technical assistance | poor | ~ |
| employment (e.g. off-farm) | poor | ~ |
| markets | poor | |
| energy | poor | |
| roads and transport | poor | |
| drinking water and sanitation | poor | ~ |
| financial services | poor | ~ |

| poor | | 1 | good |
|------|---|---|------|
| poor | | 1 | good |
| poor | 1 | | good |
| poor | 1 | | good |
| poor | | 1 | good |
| poor | | 1 | good |
| poor | | 1 | good |
| poor | 1 | | good |
| poor | ~ | | good |

Comments

The situation of infrastructure is difficult and inconsistent in the hill regions because of the terrain. The major infrastructural issues are drinking water and irrigation facilities, electricity, transportation and communication facilities and social infrastructure (housing and education). As for financial services, only the State Bank of India (SBI) is active in the hill regions where it is trying to achieve the objective of 100% financial inclusion. Some villages mentioned buying into into agricultural insurance in the past, however this was a temporary enterprise and they were never compensated after extreme climatic events that occurred and damaged over 70% of their crop. Though infrastructure and education has generally improved over the years, institutional and marketing networks in the region aimed at supporting hill-farmers are lacking.

| decreased increased | |
|-------------------------|---|
| hindered 🖌 🖌 simplified | The check dams helped deter the damage from runoff to their settlements and conserved the forest trail that is commonly used to access the areas where fodder/grass collection is permitted. |
| | |
| reduced improved | People have seen the benefits of constructing these structures, and they continue to participate in maintaining and building more check dams to reduce erosion and increase groundwater recharge. |
| decreased increased | |
| reduced improved | |
| increased decreased | |
| reduced 🖌 🖌 improved | |
| | |
| | hindered simplified reduced increased increased decreased |

| soil moisture | decreased | / increased |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| soil cover | reduced | / improved |
| soil loss | increased | / decreased |
| soil accumulation | decreased | / increased |
| soil crusting/ sealing | increased | reduced |
| soil compaction | increased | reduced |
| nutrient cycling/ recharge | decreased | / increased |
| vegetation cover | decreased | / increased |
| biomass/ above ground C | decreased | / increased |
| landslides/ debris flows | increased | / decreased |
| drought impacts | increased | / decreased |
| impacts of cyclones, rain storms | increased | / decreased |
| fire risk | increased | / decreased |
| micro-climate | worsened | / improved |

Off-site impacts

| water availability (groundwater, springs) | decreased increased |
|---|-------------------------|
| reliable and stable stream flows in dry season (incl. low flows) | reduced increased |
| downstream siltation | increased 🖌 🖌 decreased |
| buffering/ filtering capacity (by soil, vegetation, wetlands) | reduced improved |
| damage on neighbours' fields | increased 🖌 🖌 reduced |
| damage on public/ private infrastructure | increased reduced |

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

| Benefits compared with es Short-term returns | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Short-term returns | very negative very positive | |
| Long-term returns | very negative | |
| | | |
| Benefits compared with m | aintenance costs | |
| Benefits compared with m Short-term returns | aintenance costs | |

Although maintenance can be troublesome and require lots of manual labor for repair, the long term benefits and avoided damage from monsoon runoff outweigh the costs/effort.

| CLIMATE CHANGE | | |
|---|---|--|
| Gradual climate change annual temperature increase Irregular rainfall increase | not well at all very well not well at all very well | |
| Climate-related extremes (disasters) local rainstorm drought forest fire flash flood landslide | not well at all ✓ very well very well very well very well very well very well very well very well very well very well | |
| ADOPTION AND ADAPTATIO | Ν | |

Percentage of land users in the area who have adopted theh -

| rec | nnology |
|-----|----------------------------|
| | single cases/ experimental |
| | 1-10% |
| | 11-50% |
| ✓ | > 50% |

Of all those who have adopted the Technology, how many have done so without receiving material incentives?

| | 0-10% |
|---|---------|
| ✓ | 11-50% |
| | 51-90% |
| | 91-100% |

Has the Technology been modified recently to adapt to changing conditions?

| | Ja |
|---|-----|
| 1 | Nee |

To which changing conditions?

climatic change/ extremes

changing markets

labour availability (e.g. due to migration)

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

Strengths: land user's view

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

- Decrease velocity of runoff and erosive processes to the landscape
- Support recharge of groundwater/springshed recharge
- Increase water availability for surrounding vegetation
- Well constructed check dams function as permanent installations and require little maintenance
- The technology is relatively inexpensive and easy to install

Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view Views aligned with the land-user

- There is potential for the village to construct more check dams and use the water for storage/irrigation purposes
- Removal and reconstruction can be costly for some types of check dams Give thorough attention to the criteria for the site selection to avoid the need for removal; stress the need for maintenance and structure check ups.
- There can be turbulence downstream, causing erosion of the channel banks. Vegetative interventions can support these structures, so trees or shrubs can be planted around and in the spaces between check dams to further decrease runoff velocity, increase infiltration, and act as a shock absorber.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

- Aligned with the land user The government should consider providing appropriate incentives for constructing and managing check-dams, which enable more efficient use of water and also generate the positive externality of recharging ground water in surrounding areas.
- Check dam construction, if not done by skilled labour, can fail. These situations often arise and become noticeable to the land users when check-dams located upstream are damaged and there is a rapid flow of water to check-dams located downstream. Special maintenance can be performed by designated people to monitor the status of check dams upstream
- The large check dams have consistent issues and appear to require more reconstruction. These structures are located downstream and must bear more pressure. The reason for their damage could be inconsistency in repairing existing damage before monsoon. Construction cost is then increased, as additional cost is incurred in removing the accumulated silt and arranging new boulders. The land users should organize themselves more formally for check dam reconstruction is this area. Collectively generating the necessary capital and labor needed for timely reconstruction may be required from external sources like the Forest Department or JICA organization.

REFERENCES

Compiler Jaclyn Bandy Editors

Reviewer

Date of documentation: Julie 31, 2019

Hanspeter Liniger
Last update: Junie 27, 2021

Resource persons

Joshi Jagdamba - land user

Full description in the WOCAT database

https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_5210/

Linked SLM data

Approaches: Community Forest Management in the Nakina Van Panchayat https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/ Approaches: Naula Management and Conservation https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5202/ Approaches: Community Forest Management in the Nakina Van Panchayat https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/ Approaches: Naula Management and Conservation https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/ Approaches: Naula Management and Conservation https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5202/ Approaches: Community Forest Management in the Nakina Van Panchayat https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/ Approaches: Community Forest Management in the Nakina Van Panchayat https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/ Approaches: Community Forest Management in the Nakina Van Panchayat https://qcat.wocat.net/af/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_5199/

Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

- G.B. Pant Institute of Himalayan Einvironment & Development (G.B. Pant Institute of Himalayan Einvironment & Development) India
- ICIMOD International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) Nepal

Project

Onsite and Offsite Benefits of SLM

Key references

• Evaluation of the effect of porous check dam location on fine sediment retention (a case study), A. M. Hassanli, A. Esmaeli Nameghi, S. Beecham, 2007.: DOI 10.1007/s10661-008-0318-2

Links to relevant information which is available online

- Mainstreaming Slope Stability Management: http://www.research4cap.org/Library/ScottWilson-LaoPDR-2009-
- Slopes+Theme8.5+6+Retaining+Wall+Design+PPT+E-SEACAP21-v111220.pdf
- Policy Brief: Spring Revival through Sustainable Land Management (SLM) in the Himalayan Foothills: Uttarakhand, North India. Author: Liniger HP, Bandy J, Year: 2020: https://www.wocat.net/en/projects-and-countries/projects/onsite-and-offsite-benefits-sustainable-landmanagement/india
- Video: SLM for Himalayan Spring Revival. Author: Liniger HP, Bandy J, Year: 2020: https://vimeo.com/429988881

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareaAlike 4.0 International



8/8