

Shelter Belt (China)

DESCRIPTION

The shelter belt is a strip or a row of trees planted in a farmland as a wind barrier to protect crops and reduce wind erosion.

Aims / objectives: Overall purposes are improving environment, and then realization sustainable development of agriculture. Specific objectives are decreasing wind erosion of cropland, increasing foodstuff production. When this approach is decided to implement, the first things to be done are to investigate natural and social-economic environment. Then scope and stages of implementation are decided by government with provision of capital and policies. After that, the approach need to propagandize to people who live in the project area. To implement this approach step by step, local government and land users play an very important role in implementation of the approach.

LOCATION



Location: Inner Mongolia, China

Geo-reference of selected sites

• 111.252, 40.885

Initiation date: 1960

Year of termination: 1981

Type of Approach







Technical Drawing of Shelter Belt in a Frmland

Relative location of the shelter belt application in the Inner Mongolia, China.

APPROACH AIMS AND ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

Main aims / objectives of the approach

The Approach focused mainly on SLM with other activities (Modulation of air temperature, purifying air and increasing oxygen.)

The main objectives of the approach were reducing wind speed and keep soil moisture so as to be sustainable development of local land resources.

The SLM Approach addressed the following problems: Serious wind erosion in the farmland, and poor land management.

Conditions enabling the implementation of the Technology/ ies applied under the Approach

Conditions hindering the implementation of the Technology/ ies applied under the Approach

- Availability/ access to financial resources and services: No enough money Treatment through the SLM Approach: Raising funds from different level of government
- Legal framework (land tenure, land and water use rights): Lack of enforcement of legislation Treatment through the SLM Approach: Enforcement of legislation The existing land ownership, land use rights / water rights moderately hindered the approach implementation Persuading land users to accept this SWC approach.

PARTICIPATION AND ROLES OF STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED

Stakeholders involved in the Approach and their roles				
What stakeholders / implementing bodies were involved in the Approach?	Specify stakeholders	Describe roles of stakeholders		
local land users/ local communities	Mongolian, Moslem, Korean minority nationalities, etc Work equally divided between men and women			
SLM specialists/ agricultural advisers	A group of national and international specialists have been studying for a long time, and summarized this approach.			
national government (planners, decision-makers)	The implementing agencies are national government			

Patterns of shelter belt distribution in the farmland

Involvement of local land users/ local communities in the different phases of the Approach



Flow chart

Decision-making on the selection of SLM Technology

Decisions were taken by

- land users alone (self-initiative)
- mainly land users, supported by SLM specialists
- all relevant actors, as part of a participatory approach
- mainly SLM specialists, following consultation with land users

SLM specialists alone

politicians/ leaders

Decisions were made based on

- evaluation of well-documented SLM knowledge (evidence-based decision-making)
- research findings
- personal experience and opinions (undocumented)

TECHNICAL SUPPORT, CAPACITY BUILDING, AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

The following activities or services have been part of the approach Capacity building/ training

- Advisorv service
- Institution strengthening (organizational development)
- Monitoring and evaluation 1
- Research 1

Advisory service

Advisory service was provided

- on land users' fields
- at permanent centres

On-the-job, farm visits, demonstration areas; Key elements: Quality of on-the-job, Effect of farm visits, Quality of demonstration areas; 1) Advisory service was carried out through: projects own extension structure and agents 2) Advisory service was carried out through: projects own extension structure and agents; Extension staff: mainly government employees 3) Target groups for extension: technicians/SWC specialists; Activities: Courses and demonstration

Advisory service is quite adequate to ensure the continuation of land conservation activities; All land users want to do this approach if they could get economic benefit from it. At each government level, there is a SWC division which is in charge of SWC activities including extension.

Institution strengthening

Institutions have been

strengthened / established



Type of support

financial capacity building/ training equipment

at the following level 1



local



Describe institution, roles and responsibilities, members, etc.

Further details

Monitoring and evaluation

bio-physical aspects were regular monitored by 0 through measurements; indicators: None technical aspects were regular monitored by 0 through measurements; indicators: None socio-cultural aspects were ad hoc monitored by 0 through observations; indicators: None economic / production aspects were ad hoc monitored by 0 through measurements; indicators: None area treated aspects were regular monitored by 0 through measurements; indicators: None no. of land users involved aspects were ad hoc monitored by 0 through measurements; indicators: None management of Approach aspects were ad hoc monitored by 0 through observations; indicators: None There were few changes in the Approach as a result of monitoring and evaluation: Improving the approach according to the practical effect.

Research

Research treated the following topics

	sociology	This approach is applied for improving environment so as to relief their poverty.	
1	economics / marketing	This approach is appred for improving environment so as to rener their povers	
1	ecology	Research was carried out on station	
	technology	Research was carried out on station	

FINANCING AND EXTERNAL MATERIAL SUPPORT

Annual budget in USD for the SLM component

	< 2,000	Approach costs were met by the	
	2,000-10,000	following donors: international (-):	
1	10,000-100,000 100,000-1,000,000	25.0%; government (national):	
	> 1,000,000	55.0%; international non-	
Pr	recise annual budget: n.a.	government (-): 7.0%; national	
reelbe annaar baagett mar		non-government (-): 3.0%; local	
		community / land user(s) (-): 10.0%	

The following services or incentives have been provided to land users

σ

- Financial/ material support provided to land users
- Subsidies for specific inputs
- 🗸 Credit
- Other incentives or instruments

Financial/ material support provided to land users

equipment: machinery	partly finance.
equipment: machinery: tools	
agricultural: seeds	
agricultural: seeds: fertilizers	
seedlings and biocides	
community infrastructure	
Labour by land users was	

voluntary food-for-work

paid in cash

rewarded with other material support

Credit

Conditions: Interest rate charged: 0.8%; repayment conditions: Varying every year, repayment is 5 years or 10 years.. Interest was lower than market rate. Credit providers: n.a.

Credit providers: n.a. Credit receivers: n.a.

IMPACT ANALYSIS AND CONCLUDING STATEMENTS

Impacts of the Approach					
Did the Approach help land users to implement and maintain S This approach has little relationship to improve soil and water		No Ves, little Ves, moderately Ves, greatly			
Did the Approach improve issues of land tenure/ user rights that hindered implementation of SLM Technologies? The policies of land contract distribute land to individuals so that land users who involved in SWC activities need to be organized together for implementation of the SWC. The organization need much time and hard work.					
Did other land users / projects adopt the Approach? If a comprehensive SWC technology/approach has been formed based on the single measure such as shelter belt, terrace, check dams involved in agricultural development.					
Main motivation of land users to implement SLM n.a.	Sustainability of Approach activities Can the land users sustain what hat been implemented through the Approach (without external support)? no yes uncertain				

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

Strengths: land user's view

• Increasing their income (How to sustain/ enhance this strength: Increasing propagandizing and education about SWC knowledge.)

Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view

- Improving agricultural production conditions. (How to sustain/ enhance this strength: Reinforcing management to this approach)
- Increasing the land users' income (How to sustain/ enhance this strength: Changing part cropland to cash crops or fuit trees so as to get much return and maintain the sustainable SWC approach.)

REFERENCES

Compiler Meili WEN

Editors

Date of documentation: Jan. 28, 2009

Resource persons

Chunxing HAI (baoyuan+changeme1@bnu.edu.cn) - SLM specialist

Full description in the WOCAT database

https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_2396/

Linked SLM data

Technologies: Shelterbelts for farmland in sandy areas https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_1366/ Technologies: Shelterbelts for farmland in sandy areas https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_1366/ Technologies: Buffer strips and hedges https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_6162/ Technologies: Shelterbelts for farmland in sandy areas https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_1366/

Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

- Department of Resources and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University (Department of Resources and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University) China
- Project
- n.a.

Key references

- China atlas. China atlas publishing house, 1999, p25.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Zhao Yu,Jing Zhengping, Shi Peijun, Hao Yunchong et al.Inner Mongolia soil erosion research remote sensing was used in Inner Mongolia soil erosion research, Science publishing house, 1989, p25.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Inner Mongolia forest department, Forest work manual,1998,12, p33-34, p67: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Synthesized investigate team in Inner Mongolia-Ningxia, CAS.West of northeast Forest in Inner Mongolia autonomy region, Science publishing house, 1981, p82-101.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Sun Jinzhu. Natural condition and reconstruct in Hetao plain, Inner Mongolia people's publishing house, 1976, p188-189.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Inner Mongolia forest Department. Inner Mongolia autonomy region forest statistic data, 1987, p75.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Hu Chun(chief editor). Inner Mongolia autonomy region climate resources about agriculture, forest and animal husbandry, Inner Mongolia people's publishing house, 1984, p45-47.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Water and soil conservation bureau, Yellow River irrigation works committee of Department of water and electricity. Water and soil conservation economy benefit thesis collecting, 1987, p45-47.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.
- Sun Jinzhu, Chen Shan(chief editor). Inner Mongolia environmental alarm beforehand and repair countermeasure. Inner Mongolia people's publishing house, 1994, p132.: Library of the Department of Resource and Environmental Science, Beijing Normal University.

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareaAlike 4.0 International



Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

• Poor mamagement after the shelter belt construction. Enhancing management of village communities forbidding deforest.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

Reviewer

Last update: July 9, 2017

David Streiff

• Cost much money and more labour forces The government should increase fund to implement this kind of approach.