

Members of self-help groups for persons with disabilities participating in a community planning session (Shahidul Islam, CDD)

Disability inclusive Disaster Risk Reduction (Bangladesh)

Protibondhita Bandhob Durjog Jhuki Rash

DESCRIPTION

The disability inclusive approach is centered around the meaningful contribution and leadership of persons with disabilties during the entire project management cycle, from the planning stage to the evaluation of the impact of a project. It contributes to empowering them to overcome social exclusion and recognizes their needs and priorities as persons who are disproportionally at risk of disaster.

The main charactistic and central feature of the approach is that persons with disabilties can actively and meaningfully participate in, contribute to and benefit from sustainable land management/disaster risk reduction activities. The implementing organization needs to invest sufficient time and financial ressources into the formation and strenthening of self-representation groups of persons with disabilities and support their active engagement with the local government and the wider community to address the physical and attitudinal barriers that hinder their full participation in the project and society in general.

The aim is twofold: On the one hand, the participation of persons with disabitities ensures that their needs and priorities are fully taken into account in the project design and implementation, to ensure that they can benefit equally from it. On the other hand, it contributes to reducing barriers beyond the project and empowers them to demand their rights in other areas of human development, like education, health or livelihood.

The main stages of disability inclusion in the implementation of a SLM/DRR technology are:

- 1) Formation of self-help groups for persons with disabilities,
- 2) trainings and other capacity development activities for the groups, including rights awareness sessions and organizational management trainings,
- 3) set up the collaboration between the groups and the local government and with other members of the community,
- 4) participation of persons with disabilities/group members in the planning phase to decide on the technology and adapt the technology to universal design standards, which takes into account their needs and the needs of other groups with other specific accessibility needs, like the elderly or pregnant women,
- 5) persons with disabilities (together with other land users) support the introduction of the technology (including the construction activities) by providing manual labor and supervision functions
- 6) full handover of the technology to land users, ensuring Joint ownership includes persons with disabilities, and provision of trainings for self-maintenance,
- 7) participation of persons with disabilties in the evaluation of the impact of the technology, sharing of lessons and good practices and continuous advocacy for community development and for the rights of persons with disabilties.

Experience from Bangladesh shows that what the land users, including persons with disabilties, like about the approach is: The strong community engagement, the empowerment

LOCATION



Location: Horipur Union, Sundargonj Sub district, Gaibandha District, Bangladesh

Geo-reference of selected sites

89.63049, 25.51988

Initiation date: 2015

Year of termination: 2016

Type of Approach

traditional/ indigenous recent local initiative/ innovative

project/ programme based

and increased status of persons with disabilities, the collaboration between persons with disabilities and persons without disability, and the adaptation of existing technology to fit the needs of persons with disability.



Persons with disabilities participate in a community consultation meeting (Md. Shahidul Islam, CDD)



A women with disability using an accessible handpump wich was installed following the standards of universal design (Shahidul Islam, CDD)

APPROACH AIMS AND ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

Main aims / objectives of the approach

To empower persons with disabilities to meaningfully participate in, contribute to and benefit from the implementation of an SLM/DRR technology.

Conditions enabling the implementation of the Technology/ ies applied under the Approach

- Availability/ access to financial resources and services: The financial resources for the implementation of the technology and the extra
 ressources needed to ensure disability inclusion, were readily available because the technology was widely and positively recognized by the
 community and by donors.
- **Institutional setting**: The institutional environment was overwhelmingly supportive of the implementation of the project. The local Union Council government, schools, mosques and other civil society organizations were in favor of the technology and approach and supported the implementation.
- **Collaboration/ coordination of actors**: Beneficiaries/land users were selected in a participative process, involving the whole community. The process was transparent and inclusive. It was a foundation for the smooth collaboration with beneficiaries and other involved stakeholders later on.
- Legal framework (land tenure, land and water use rights): To ensure joint ownership of beneficiaries of the land on which the SLM/DRR Technology was implemented, an exchange of land was needed. Due to the remoteness and scarce population of the implementation area in rural Bangladesh, a cooperative local government and a manageable legal framework this was easy to achieve. A deep-rooted tube well was installed for water access of the land users. Water use rights were also easy to acquire.
- Policies: No specific policies existed, which significantly affected the implementation of the technology.
- Land governance (decision-making, implementation and enforcement): Land ownership was recognized by the local government and land governance was controlled by land owners.
- Knowledge about SLM, access to technical support: Indigenous knowledge about SLM was enabling for the implementation of the technology. Technical expertise by the implementing organization (NGO) was available.
- Markets (to purchase inputs, sell products) and prices: Inputs for construction and planting were locally available at reasonable prices.
- Workload, availability of manpower: During the lean season manpower was abundant in the area, but it was scarce during the planting season. The workload for the implementation of the technology was manageable and could easily be provided by land users themselves.

Conditions hindering the implementation of the Technology/ ies applied under the Approach

• Social/ cultural/ religious norms and values: The social stigma and exclusion, that persons with disabilities experience in rural Bangladesh, was a challenge for the project. Persons with disabilities are sometimes believed to be incapable of contributing anything meaningful to society and village life. Some community members did not want to associate with persons with disabilities. This required an extra effort to ensure the participation of the wider community in the project and it required sustained advocacy and awareness raising for the rights and dignity of persons with disabilities.

PARTICIPATION AND ROLES OF STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED

Stakeholders involved in the Approach and their roles

What stakeholders / implementing bodies were involved in the Approach?	Specify stakeholders	Describe roles of stakeholders
local land users/ local communities	The land users include 10 families who jointly own	Land users were closely engaged in the
		implementation of the technology by participating
		in decision making processes, informing the design

		of the technology and contributing to the construction process.
community-based organizations	Self-help (self-representation) groups of persons with disabilities are informal community based groups of 15 persons with different types of disabilities (physical-, sensory- and mental disabilities).	The group is closely engaged in the implementation of the technology. It participates in decision making processes, informs the design of the technology, contributes to the construction process, is engaged in the evaluation of the technology and the sharing of learnings about it to the wider community. The group also provides benefits for its members by supporting them with everyday challenges, which can be of economic, legal or social nature, and promotes the rights of all persons with disabilities in the community.
NGO		CDD was responsible for the overall management of project implementation and the collaboration with other involved local stakeholders. CBM provided training and technical support.
local government	The Union Parishad government is the lowest level of local government.	The Union Parishad government managed land ownership and approved construction projects.

Involvement of local land users/ local communities in the different phases of the Approach

none passive external support interactive self-mobilization

planning

implementation

monitoring/ evaluation

Land users contributed to the initial situation analysis and joined self-help groups for persons with disabilities.

Land users, and in particular those who are persons with disabilities, participated in all planning and decision making processes related to the design and introduction of the technology, including the selection of the land.

Land users engaged in the construction of the technology by providing paid and unpaid labor.

The land users monitored the implementation process and gave feedback to the implementing NGOs when changes were needed. Land users participated in the evaluation of the technology and the approach and contributed to the dissemination of good practices and learnings.

Flow chart

Not available.

Decision-making on the selection of SLM Technology

Decisions were taken by

land users alone (self-initiative)

mainly land users, supported by SLM specialists

all relevant actors, as part of a participatory approach

mainly SLM specialists, following consultation with land users SLM specialists alone

politicians/ leaders

Decisions were made based on

evaluation of well-documented SLM knowledge (evidence-based decision-making)

research findings

personal experience and opinions (undocumented)

TECHNICAL SUPPORT, CAPACITY BUILDING, AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

The following activities or services have been part of the approach

- Capacity building/ training
- Advisory service
- Institution strengthening (organizational development)
- Monitoring and evaluation
 - Research

Capacity building/ training

Training was provided to the following stakeholders

Iand users

field staff/ advisers

Form of training

on-the-job

farmer-to-farmer

demonstration areas

public meetings

courses

Subjects covered

On the job training and demonstration on the construction and maintenance of the technology. Training to self-help groups for persons with disabilities on the rights of persons with disabilities, the use and benefits of the technology for persons with disabilities and the management of self-help groups.

Advisory service

Advisory service was provided

on land users' fields

at permanent centres

construction/implementation of technology

The implementing NGOs provided detailed technical support to land users on the adaptation of the technology to the needs of persons with disabilities, following the standards of universal design.

Institution strengthening

Institutions have been strengthened / established

no

yes, a little

yes, moderately

yes, greatly

at the following level

local

regional national

Describe institution, roles and responsibilities, members, etc. Local self-help groups and their APEX body (umbrella group) at Union level were strengthened. Their roles of the self-help groups were to establish a mutual support network, raise awareness among group members of disability rights and development issues, pool ressources and give individual persons with disabilities a greater political voice. The APEX body gave the groups contact points beyond their immediate community and gave further weight to their political voice.

Further details

To strengthen self-help groups, they were provided with, 1) awarnessand skill development trainings, 2) financial support for climate resilient income generation through agricultural and non-agricultural activities, and 3) assistive devices.

Type of support

financial

capacity building/ training

equipment

Monitoring and evaluation

A participatory monitoring and evaluation system was implemented with support of the self-help groups for persons with disabilties.

FINANCING AND EXTERNAL MATERIAL SUPPORT

Annual budget in USD for the SLM component

< 2,000 2,000-10,000

10,000-100,000 100,000-1,000,000 > 1.000.000

Precise annual budget: 218702.0

The annual budget includes the total funds used for the introduction of the technology. Funds were provided through the implementing NGOs CBM and CDD, with the support of a private donor from Germany.

The following services or incentives have been provided to land

ced

Financial/ material support provided to land users

Subsidies for specific inputs

Credit

Other incentives or instruments

Financial/ material support provided to land users

Land users received a daily fee for the labor provided for the introduction of the technology. The NGOs also provided most material input for the technology, including soil, sand, seeds, seedlings, grass, trees, ramp, water and sanitation facilties.

	partly financ fully financ	
labour Labor provided by land users for certain construction activities was compensated with a daily fee.	✓ pa	
equipment: machinery Rent of sand extravation machine was funded by the project.	✓	
equipment: machinery: tools Tools for construction activities was provided to land users by the project.	✓	
agricultural: seeds Seeds and seedlings for the homestead garden was provided by the project.	✓	
agricultural: seeds: fertilizers The facility for composting organic fertilizer was provided by the project.	✓	
Plants Deep-rooted fruit trees and grass turfing was provided by the project.	✓	
construction: wood Wood for fencing for the homestead vegetable garden in front of all houses and a flood resilient cow sheds in the village was provided by the project.	Z	
Soil The purchase of soil for the raising of land was funded by the project.	✓	
infrastructure: roads Construction material for barrier free connections to all houses in the village was funded by the project.	✓	
Ramp A ramp, connecting the cluster village with the road was funded by the project.	✓	

IMPACT ANALYSIS AND CONCLUDING STATEMENTS

Impacts of the Approach

Impacts of the Approach	<u> </u>
Did the Approach empower local land users, improve stakeholder participation?	No Yes, little Yes, moderately Yes, greatly
The approach is based on the empowerment of land users, in particularly those who are persons with disabilites. It ensured participation of persons with disabilities who would otherwise be isolated and excluded.	
Did the Approach enable evidence-based decision-making?	✓
Did the Approach help land users to implement and maintain SLM Technologies? The project supported land users with the implementation and use of the technology.	✓
Did the Approach improve coordination and cost-effective implementation of SLM? The coordination among land users has improved and actions of land management have become more cost effective.	/
Did the Approach mobilize/ improve access to financial resources for SLM implementation?	✓
Did the Approach improve knowledge and capacities of land users to implement SLM? Land users were provided with trainings and demonstrations about the implementation and use of the technology.	✓ /
Did the Approach improve knowledge and capacities of other stakeholders? The local government, other members of the community and other non-governmental organizations took note of the technology and sensitization about the rights and needs of persons with disabilities increased.	✓
Did the Approach build/ strengthen institutions, collaboration between stakeholders? The approach strengthened the collaboration between the local government and self-help groups of persons with disabilities.	✓
Did the Approach mitigate conflicts? Joint decision making and the resolution of conflicts among land users improved through the joint managment of the land.	□ □ ▽
Did the Approach empower socially and economically disadvantaged groups? The approach empowered persons with disabilities and other land users, who all belonged to economically marginalized groups. Their social and economic status greatly improved.	✓
Did the Approach improve gender equality and empower women and girls? Land user participation in the implementation of the technology always included men and women. Self-help groups for persons with disabilities, which were formed and strengthened by the project, always included around 50% women. Meaningful participation by women in group meetings was promoted by the implementing NGOs.	V
Did the Approach encourage young people/ the next generation of land users to engage in SLM? The technology was of of high interest for youth clubs, high school students and other young people in the community and many voiced the intention of replicating it in the future.	□ □ ▽
Did the Approach improve issues of land tenure/ user rights that hindered implementation of SLM Technologies?	✓
Did the Approach lead to improved food security/ improved nutrition? The technology improved food security and nutrition through the introduction of fruit tree plantation and a homestead vegetable garden.	
Did the Approach improve access to markets? The construction of a ramp for road access allows wheelchair users and other persons with limited mobility to better access local markets.	
Did the Approach lead to improved access to water and sanitation? The technology improved water access through the drilling of a deep bore hole water source for common water access and the construction of barrier free household latrines.	□ □ ▽
Did the Approach lead to more sustainable use/ sources of energy? The technology lead to more sustainable energy use through the provision of household based mini solar systems.	V
Did the Approach improve the capacity of the land users to adapt to climate changes/ extremes and mitigate climate related disasters? The technology offers a safe and accessible space for housing, fruit and vegetable cultivation and livestock shelter. It greatly improved the capacity of land users to adapt to the increasing occurence and intensity of monsoon floods.	
Did the Approach lead to employment, income opportunities? The technology improved income opportunities through the introduction of a flood resilient fruit tree plantation and homestead vegetable garden. Part of the harvest can be sold on the market.	✓

Main motivation of land users to implement SLM

increased production

increased profit(ability), improved cost-benefit-ratio

reduced land degradation

reduced risk of disasters

reduced workload

payments/ subsidies

rules and regulations (fines)/ enforcement prestige, social pressure/ social cohesion

affiliation to movement/ project/ group/ networks

environmental consciousness customs and beliefs, morals

enhanced SLM knowledge and skills

aesthetic improvement

conflict mitigation

Sustainability of Approach activities

Can the land users sustain what hat been implemented through the Approach (without external support)?

no ves

uncertain

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

Strengths: land user's view

- Land users greatly apprechiate the empowerment and social cohesion that the approach enabled. Decisions are taken together and conflicts in the village can be mitigated. The Cluster Village has become a safe space and meeting point for the whole community.
- The Cluster Village is fully inclusive of persons with disabilities (inclusion in decision making processes and social activities and fully accessible infrastructure), which is something that land users are proud of because it is the first such set-up in the community and is apprechiated as a model by others.

Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view

- Meaningful participation and of persons with disabilities in project implementation has a signaling effect beyond the project and fosters sensitization of the local government and wider community for more inclusive community development and principles of universal design.
- Formation of self-help groups of persons with disabilities and their active engagement with the wider community on community development issues, which go beyond the rights and needs of persons with disabilites, lead to empowerment and geater social inclusion of persons with disabilities.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

Technical knowledge gap when it comes to the maintenance of the technology and the continuous dependence on external support. Invest sufficient ressources in trainings and capacity building and emphasis and formalize the transfer of ownership of the technology to land users.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

Formation and strenthening of self-help groups of persons with disabilties to the level where they are sustainable and able to make significant contributions to the projects and community development and demand their rights, takes significant ressources with regard to time and funds invested. Strong committment of the implementing organization to inclusive programming and sufficient internal capacity building.

REFERENCES

Compiler Editors Reviewer Manuel Rothe Subir Saha Alexandra Gavilano Subir Saha

Date of documentation: March 22, 2017 Last update: Nov. 1, 2017

Resource persons

Subir Saha (sahasubirkumar67@gmail.com) - DRR specialist Shahidul Islam (shahidulpls@yahoo.com) - DRR specialist Ashutosh Dey (ashutosh.dey@cbm.org) - DRR specialist Manuel Rothe (manuel.rothe@cbmswiss.ch) - DRR Specialist

Full description in the WOCAT database

https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_2001/

Linked SLM data

Technologies: Disability inclusive, flood resilient cluster village https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_2005/ Technologies: Disability inclusive, flood resilient cluster village https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_2005/

Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

- Christoffel Blindenmission (CBM) Switzerland Project
- Book project: where people and their land are safer A Compendium of Good Practices in Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) (where people and their land are safer)

Key references

Disability inclusive disaster risk managment, CBM, 2013: http://www.cbm.org/article/downloads/54741/Disability_Inclusive_Disaster_Risk_Management.pdf

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareaAlike 4.0 International





