

A picture showing goats closer in their feeding area next to the housing units (Priscilla Vivian Kyosaba)

# Semi-Intensive Goat Farming Practice for Pasture Conservation (Uganda)

Okulisa embuzi

#### DESCRIPTION

Goats are stall fed during dry season and open-grazed during rain season. In a semiintensive system, animals are kept under confinement in which they are stall-fed for some period of time (weeks to months, especially during the dry seasons) followed by another period of open grazing during the rainy seasons.

another period of open grazing during the rainy seasons. The semi-intensive goat rearing practice is a compromise between extensive and intensive grazing systems limited by shortage of pasture during dry seasons with high chances of spreading diseases requiring for stall feeding goats in an semi-intensive system. The goats are kept under confinement for some period of time (weeks to months, especially during the dry seasons). During dry season, the animals are fed on maize bran; iodized salt; peelings from banana, cassava, and sweet potatoes; and improved grasses (Napier) and forages planted at the boundaries of the banana plantation, which are harvested during the period of need. The farmer has got 2 ha of banana plantation to be used as fodder for the goats during dry season. Napier grass is a perennial grass fodder commoly called elephant Grass due to its tallness and vigorous vegetative growth. She got the Napier root cuttings from the neighbor practicing the same technology. This is grown around the banana plantation, at a spacing of 60×60cm. It produces more tillers with soft and juicy stem, free from pest and diseases and non-lodging. It can be cultivated throughout the year. Napier grasses contain 6-8% protein. Its optimum cutting interval is about 6 to 8 weeks at grass range of 60 to 90 cm, if sufficient only the tops can be cut and fed. The grass is cut into 5 to 10 cm to reduce loses. The extensive system is practiced during rainy season where the farmer grows a mixture of fodder species including Sesbania and Napier grass grown on land size of 0.5 ha. Sesbania is a fast-growing tree with regular and rounded leaves. The flowers are white and red in color according to its species but the ones at the farm are yellow. The leaves of Sesbania trees are highly palatable and mostly liked by goats. The protein content in this is about 25%. 1kg of seed was planted at a spacing of 100 cm x 100 cm. In this field Napier grass is planted in rows at a spacing of 60×60 cm. The seeds for Sesbania were supplied to th

Kabarole District Production Office. Farmers in Kabarole District use the Semi-intensive system for rearing both local and improved breeds of goats. As dry spells are increasingly becoming common, this technology helps farmers to go through the dry season with enough feed for the goats. Farmers prefer rearing goats because they don't have complicated feeding and medical requirements. As the human population grows and land fragmentation increases, farmers in this area are now moving towards intensive feedings systems. Throughout seasons of abundant forage, farmers harvest the forage together with grasses and make hay to feed the goats during the dry season when pastures are scarce. The cost of harvesting the hay is comparable to the cost of paying a herdsman in open grazing systems. Besides, the establishment of the shelter for goats is not cumbersome compared to those of other animals. The constructed structure occupies an area of about 12 ×12 meters squared with length of 10 meters and width is 3 meters. It is lifted ground to floor 1.5 meters and floor to roof by 2.5 meters. Further partitioned in 4 units and each unit measurement is 3 meters with a slope angle of 20 degrees. The Capacity of each unit is 18,17,17 and 18 goats with a slope angle of 20 degrees. The Capacity of each unit is 18,17,17 and 18 goats respectively

respectively. The shelter for the goats is made from relatively cheap materials that are readily available to the farmers. The farmer rears 70 goats on 1-acre piece of land using this technology. By planting improved forages in the grazing areas, the farmer receives increased amount of forage harvested as well as the quality of grass available to the goats during the open grazing periods and income after sale.One challenge of this technology is the dependence on family labor that is not always sufficient for all the tasks involved in the technology both at establishment and maintenance in addition to complications with of rural-urban migration of worth. Horaby Lowing the workload to the olderky. youth, thereby leaving the workload to the elderly.



Location: Western Region, Uganda

No. of Technology sites analysed: single site

# **Geo-reference of selected sites** • 30.236, 0.473

**Spread of the Technology:** evenly spread over an area (approx. < 0.1 km2 (10 ha))

#### Date of implementation: 1960

#### Type of introduction

- through land users' innovation
- as part of a traditional system (> 50 years) during experiments/ research
- through projects/ external interventions



A photo showing goats close to their feeding area in Kabarole District, Western Uganda (Priscilla Vivian Kyosaba)

# CLASSIFICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

#### Main purpose

- improve production
- reduce, prevent, restore land degradation
- conserve ecosystem protect a watershed/ downstream areas - in combination with
- other Technologies
- preserve/ improve biodiversity
- reduce risk of disasters
- adapt to climate change/ extremes and its impacts
- mitigate climate change and its impacts
- create beneficial economic impact 1 create beneficial social impact
- Prevention of diseases 1

## Purpose related to land degradation

prevent land degradation reduce land degradation restore/ rehabilitate severely degraded land adapt to land degradation not applicable

• pastoralism and grazing land management

• improved plant varieties/ animal breeds



A photo showing grazing pasture field for the goats during rain season (Priscilla Vivian Kyosaba)

#### Land use



Grazing land - Intensive grazing/ fodder production: Cut-andcarry/ zero grazing, Improved pastures

Main animal species and products: It is a mixture of cross and local breed and the main product aimed for is meat

### Water supply

- 🗸 rainfed mixed rainfed-irrigated
  - full irrigation

#### Number of growing seasons per year: n.a. Land use before implementation of the Technology: n.a.

Livestock density: The farmer has got 70 goats, the number of animals per each constructed unit is variable. She constructed 1 unit, partitioned into 4 sections measuring to 3×3 meters each accommodating 15 goats.

#### Degradation addressed



physical soil deterioration - Pc: compaction

biological degradation - Bc: reduction of vegetation cover

#### SLM measures



structural measures - S9: Shelters for plants and animals

# **TECHNICAL DRAWING**

Technical specifications

SLM group



Author: Prossy Kaheru

It is an elevated floor housing unit with 10 X 3 meters squared with a height of 2.5m. From ground it is elevated 1.5 m. The structure is partitioned into 4 units The Capacity of each unit is 18,17,17 and 18 goats respectively. Construction materials are timber peelings, iron sheets, nails. Animal species are both crosses and local breeds.



Author: Prossy Kaheru

# ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE: ACTIVITIES, INPUTS AND COSTS

#### Calculation of inputs and costs

- Costs are calculated: per Technology unit (unit: Per shelter as described)
- Currency used for cost calculation: shillings
- Exchange rate (to USD): 1 USD = 3650.0 shillings
- Average wage cost of hired labour per day: 25,000

Most important factors affecting the costs

The organisaton and purchase of feedstuff

#### Establishment activities

1. Constructing animal shelter (Timing/ frequency: Once)

2. Buying kids (Timing/ frequency: Once)

#### Establishment inputs and costs (per Per shelter as described)

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (shillings)	Total costs per input (shillings)	% of costs borne by land users	
Labour						
Animal housing Structure construction labor	Man days	2.0	25000.0	50000.0	100.0	
Buying kids	Kids	20.0	20000.0	400000.0	100.0	
Equipment						
Poles		35.0	7000.0	245000.0	100.0	
Iron sheets		50.0	21000.0	1050000.0	100.0	
Nails	Kilograms	30.0	3000.0	90000.0	100.0	
Ropes		20.0	1000.0	20000.0	100.0	
Plant material						
Unit doors		5.0	25000.0	125000.0	100.0	
Total costs for establishment of the Technology						

#### Maintenance activities

1. Acquiring animal feeds (Timing/ frequency: Everyday)

2. Animal water (Timing/ frequency: Everyday)

3. De\_worming the animals (Timing/ frequency: After 2 months)

4. Buying iodine salt to mix in animal feed (Timing/ frequency: When needed)

5. Repairing damaged patches of the animal shelter (Timing/ frequency: When needed)

6. Cleaning the animal housing (Timing/ frequency: Daily)

7. Giving feeds to the goats (Timing/ frequency: Daily)

#### Maintenance inputs and costs (per Per shelter as described)

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (shillings)	Total costs per input (shillings)	% of costs borne by land users	
Labour						
Animal Vaccine	Bottles	2.0	25000.0	50000.0	100.0	
lodine salt	Kilograms	360.0	800.0	288000.0	100.0	
Labor					100.0	
Other						
Stocking animal feeds	Bundles	1300.0	500.0	650000.0	100.0	
Total costs for maintenance of the Technology						

# NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

#### Agro-climatic zone Specifications on climate Average annual rainfall < 250 mm 🗸 humid Average annual rainfall in mm: 2000.0 251-500 mm sub-humid 501-750 mm semi-arid 751-1,000 mm arid 1,001-1,500 mm 1,501-2,000 mm 2,001-3,000 mm $\checkmark$ 3,001-4,000 mm > 4,000 mm Technology is applied in Landforms Altitude Slope flat (0-2%) plateau/plains 0-100 m a.s.l. convex situations 101-500 m a.s.l. concave situations gentle (3-5%) ridges mountain slopes moderate (6-10%) 501-1,000 m a.s.l. not relevant 1,001-1,500 m a.s.l. hill slopes rolling (11-15%) hilly (16-30%) footslopes 1 1,501-2,000 m a.s.l. steep (31-60%) valley floors Z,001-2,500 m a.s.l. very steep (>60%) 2,501-3,000 m a.s.l. 3,001-4,000 m a.s.l. > 4,000 m a.s.l. Soil depth Soil texture (topsoil) Soil texture (> 20 cm below Topsoil organic matter content very shallow (0-20 cm) coarse/ light (sandy) high (>3%) surface) shallow (21-50 cm) medium (loamy, silty) medium (1-3%) 1 coarse/ light (sandy) moderately deep (51-80 cm) fine/ heavy (clay) low (<1%) medium (loamy, silty) deep (81-120 cm) fine/ heavy (clay) very deep (> 120 cm) Availability of surface water Water quality (untreated) Is salinity a problem?

# Groundwater table Wocat SLM Technologies

Semi-Intensive Goat Farming Practice for Pasture Conservation

on surface < 5 m 5-50 m ✓ > 50 m	excess good medium poor/ none	<ul> <li>good drinking water</li> <li>poor drinking water</li> <li>(treatment required)</li> <li>for agricultural use only</li> <li>(irrigation)</li> <li>unusable</li> </ul>	Yes No Occurrence of flooding Yes No	
Species diversity	Habitat diversity			
low	riign <b>medium</b> low			
CHARACTERISTICS OF LA	ND USERS APPLYING THE	TECHNOLOGY		
Market orientation subsistence (self-supply) mixed (subsistence/ commercia commercial/ market	Off-farm income ✓ less than 10% of all income 10-50% of all income ✓ 50% of all income	Relative level of wealth very poor poor average rich very rich	Level of mechanization manual work animal traction mechanized/ motorized	
<ul> <li>Sedentary or nomadic</li> <li>Sedentary</li> <li>Semi-nomadic</li> <li>Nomadic</li> </ul>	Individuals or groups individual/ household groups/ community cooperative employee (company, government)	Gender women men	Age ✓ children youth middle-aged ✓ elderly	
Area used per household < 0.5 ha 0.5-1 ha 1-2 ha 2-5 ha ✓ 5-15 ha 15-50 ha 50-100 ha 100-500 ha 500-1,000 ha 1,000-10,000 ha > 10,000 ha	Scale small-scale medium-scale large-scale	Land ownership state company communal/village group individual, not titled individual, titled	Land use rights open access (unorganized) communal (organized) leased ✓ individual Water use rights open access (unorganized) communal (organized) leased ✓ individual	
Access to services and infrastruct health education technical assistance employment (e.g. off-farm) markets energy roads and transport drinking water and sanitation financial services	poor 9 900 poor 9 900 poor 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 900 poor 9 9 900 poor 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 9 9 900 poor 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9			
IMPACTS				
Socio-economic impacts				
	decreased 📕 🖌 in	creased Reason given is that children school fees	a farmer can now comfortably pay plus take-care of the family necessities	
workload	increased 🖌 🚺 de	creased Cut and carry metho	d requires much labor	
Socio-cultural impacts food security/ self-sufficiency	reduced <b>reduced</b> in	nproved Manure collected fro applied in the garder	om the goats shelter is piled and later n hence increasing crop yields	
Ecological impacts				
<b>Off-site impacts</b> damage on neighbours' fields	increased 🖌 🖌 👘 re	<sup>duced</sup> Goats confined.		
COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS				

Benefits compared with establishme	ent costs		
Short-term returns	very negative	very positive	
Long-term returns	very negative	very positive	
Benefits compared with maintenand	ce costs		
Short-term returns	very negative	very positive	
Long-term returns	very negative	very positive	
CLIMATE CHANGE			
Gradual climate change			
annual temperature decrease	not well at all 🥤 🖌	very well	
seasonal temperature decrease	not well at all	very well	Season: wet/ rainy season
	not well at all	very well	
seasonal faillian decrease	not well at all 📕 🎽	very well	Season: wet/ rainy season
Climate-related extremes (disasters)	1		
insect/ worm infestation	not well at all 🥤 🖌	very well	
ADOPTION AND ADAPTATIC	DN J		
Percentage of land users in the area	who have adopted the	Of all th	ose who have adopted the Technology, how many have
Technology		done so	without receiving material incentives?
single cases/ experimental		0-109	%
1-10%		10-50	J% D04
more than 50%		90-10	20%
Has the Technology been modified i	ecently to adapt to changing	g	
conditions?			
res No			

#### To which changing conditions?

climatic change/ extremes

changing markets

labour availability (e.g. due to migration)

## CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

#### Strengths: land user's view

- Improved standards of living because of the incomes generated.
- Animal manure acquired and then applied in the farmers banana plantation
- Serves as employment opportunity for the youths in the home.
- Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view
- Easy access for feeding and watering
- Nutrient requirement are met both from grazing and stall feeding.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

- Relatively expensive to maintain
- Vaccinating every after two months a bit tiresome
- In dry season they usually face a problem of water scarcity

# Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

- Stall feeding relatively increases the feeding cost Supplementing stall feeding with grazing and pasture growing
- Management and knowledge of forage storage is needed Through training on forage management

### REFERENCES

Compiler

Date of documentation: Jan. 26, 2018

Resource persons Edith Tusiime - land user

#### Full description in the WOCAT database

https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies\_3363/ Video: https://player.vimeo.com/video/261314072

#### Linked SLM data n.a.

#### Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

• National Agricultural Research Organisation (NARO) - Uganda

Project

• Sustainable Land Management Practices of South Africa (SLM South Africa)

## Links to relevant information which is available online

- Commercial Goat Farming in India: An Emerging Agri-Business Opportunity: http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/47443/2/7-Shelanderkumar.pdf
- Expert System for sheep and goat: http://agritech.tnau.ac.in/expert\_system/sheepgoat/Housing%20of%20sheep%20and%20goats.html

# This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareaAlike 4.0 International

Editors

Kamugisha Rick Nelson



Reviewer

Last update: Nov. 12, 2019

Nicole Harari Udo Höggel

PRISCILLA VIVIAN KYOSABA