

Fishbone shaped trenches waiting to be filled with fascine bundles before being covered with soil. (Helen Gambon, Swiss Red Cross)

Fascine drainage (Honduras)

DESCRIPTION

Fascine drains are used to drain excess water from elevated lands that might affect plots of land or houses below. They help prevent landslides and gully formation.

The Department of Olancho is a rainforest area located in the mountain range area of Cordillera Central and Sierra de Agalta, at an average altitude of 1,500 masl. Though most of the area of Olancho is protected as a natural reserve or natural park, there are high levels of deforestation resulting from livestock practices and intense industrial forest management practices. However, small farmers also cause deforestation. These practices result in rampant forest fires, soil degradation and erosion. The Department of Olancho is regularly afforted by transical storms and burricance coming in from the Atlantic. This combination of affected by tropical storms and hurricanes coming in from the Atlantic. This combination of strong natural phenomena, topographic exposure and harmful use of natural resources causes significant material damage and even human deaths.

causes significant material damage and even human deaths. Fascine drains are used to drain excess water from the elevated land that affects lands or houses in lower areas. This Technology is used in sites where counterslopes are present. The technology helps prevent landslides and gullies. Fascine drains are implemented by digging fishbone shaped trenches with arms connecting to a main trench. The Technology is generally built from the bottom up and the side angles vary between 20° and 45°. The trenches are filled with live grasses, such Pennisetum sp, King Grass (Pennisetum sp) or sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum). These plants are moored with stakes that regenerate easily (such as (Madriado – Gliricidia sepium). Then, the land around the trenches is tightly packed. Since the livestock can damage the fascine bundles, the area must be fenced off. To avoid production losses, grass is sown (maralfalfa or King Grass) on top of the fascines. These grasses can be trimmed three times a year and used as fodder. This technology may be combined with others, such as live hedges using Vetiver grass (Vetiveria zizanioides).

Vetiveria zizanioides). In this case study, the fascine drains were implemented by the Project "Resiliencia" undertaken by the Swiss/ Honduran Red Cross. This project aims at helping sustainable development to enhance the resilience of rural areas in Olancho by reducing disaster hazards together and promoting health at every level (home, community, municipality). Bioengineering works are implemented in areas which were identified as being vulnerable by updated risk ascessments. The honoficipates are birdfly vulnerable and exposed to disaster assessments. The beneficiaries are highly vulnerable and exposed to disasters.

ΑΤΙΟΝ



Location: Dulce Nombre de Culmí municipality, Río Blanco community, Departament of Olancho, Honduras

No. of Technology sites analysed: single site

Geo-reference of selected sites -85.58228, 15.16825

Spread of the Technology: evenly spread over an area (approx. < 0.1 km2 (10 ha))

In a permanently protected area?:

Date of implementation: 2007

Type of introduction

- through land users' innovation as part of a traditional system (> 50 years)
- during experiments/ research
- through projects/ external interventions



After implementation, fascine drains are no longer visible. Here they are covered with Maralfalfa grasses that can be used as fodder for livestock. (Helen Gambon, Swiss Red Cross)

CLASSIFICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

Main purpose

- improve production
- reduce, prevent, restore land degradation
- conserve ecosystem
 protect a watershed/ downstream areas in combination with other Technologies
- preserve/ improve biodiversity
- reduce risk of disasters
- adapt to climate change/ extremes and its impacts mitigate climate change and its impacts create beneficial economic impact
- create beneficial social impact

Purpose related to land degradation

prevent land degradation

reduce land degradation restore/ rehabilitate severely degraded land adapt to land degradation not applicable

SLM group

• water diversion and drainage



After implementation, fascine drains are no longer visible. Here they are covered with Maralfalfa grasses that can be used as fodder for livestock. (Helen Gambon, Swiss Red Cross)

Land use



Water supply

rainfed
 mixed rainfed-irrigated
 full irrigation

Grazing land

Cut-and-carry/ zero grazing

_

Degradation addressed



soil erosion by water - Wm: mass movements/ landslides, Wo: offsite degradation effects

SLM measures



vegetative measures - V2: Grasses and perennial herbaceous plants



structural measures - S3: Graded ditches, channels, waterways



management measures - M2: Change of management/ intensity level

TECHNICAL DRAWING

Technical specifications

The system involves digging fishbone shaped trenches connected to a main trench. Central drains generally are 50 cm deep and lateral drains are 30 cm deep. These are generally built from the bottom up and the side angles vary between 20° and 45°. Side trenches are generally 1.0 to 2.5 meters apart, built in parallel lines, with lengths varying between 3 and 8 meters. Trenches are filled with plant stems with a high regeneration rate, such as Maralfalfa grass (Pennisetum sp), King Grass (Pennisetum sp) or sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum). These are then moored with 70 cm stakes made of trees that regenerate easily (eg. Madriado – Gliricidia Sepium) which are used toi anchorthe surrounding soil. The area must be fenced off to avoid livestock from damaging the stakes, since the latter sprout leaves.

In this case study, fascine drains were implemented by the "Resiliencia" project undertaken by the Honduran/Swiss Red Cross in order to provide sustainable support to enhance resiliency in rural areas of Olancho, by working on reducing disaster hazards and promoting health at all levels (home, community, municipality). Bioengineering works are implemented in critical sites based on risk analyses. beneficiaries are highly vulnerable and exposed to disasters.

Once fionished, the fascine drains and the live vetiver hedges will protect a school house from landslides.



Most important factors affecting the costs

Purchase and transportation of plants not available on site

ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE: ACTIVITIES, INPUTS AND COSTS

Calculation of inputs and costs

- Costs are calculated: per Technology unit (unit: **one fascine drainage system** volume, length: **40m x 40m**)
- Currency used for cost calculation: Lempiras
- Exchange rate (to USD): 1 USD = 21.0 Lempiras
- Average wage cost of hired labour per day: 150 Lempiras

Establishment activities

- 1. Clear land (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 2. Prepare stakes and transport them to site (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 3. Place stakes and wire (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 4. Prepare plant material (king grass or maralfalfa) and tie them in bunches (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 5. Dig 50 cm deep trenches (center) and 30 cm (branches) (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 6. Place bundles in trenches and moor with stakes (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 7. Cover with soil (Timing/ frequency: winter)
- 8. Plant vegetation to cover (Timing/ frequency: winter)

Establishment inputs and costs (per one fascine drainage system)

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (Lempiras)	Total costs per input (Lempiras)	% of costs borne by land users
Labour				• •	
Trained labor	person per day	1.0	500.0	500.0	
Untrained labor	person per day	18.0	150.0	2700.0	30.0
Equipment					
shovel, pike, gloves, machete	pieces	3.0	2.0	6.0	100.0
Cord	pound	5.0	25.0	125.0	100.0
Plant material					
maralfalfa, king grass, sugar cane or bamboo	pounds	200.0	2.0	400.0	
wood for stakes	piece	60.0	3.0	180.0	100.0
Construction material					
posts	post	100.0	25.0	2500.0	100.0
barbed wire	roll	1.0	450.0	450.0	
Other					
Transportation for plants	trip	1.0	500.0	500.0	100.0
Total costs for establishment of the Technology					
Total costs for establishment of the Technology in USD					

Maintenance activities

1. Cut grass with machete (Timing/ frequency: every 4 months)

Maintenance inputs and costs (p	per one fascine drainage sys	stem)				
Specify input		Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (Lempiras)	Total costs per input (Lempiras)	% of costs borne by land users
Labour				•		
Untrained labor		person-days	6.0	150.0	900.0	100.0
Total costs for maintenance of the	Technology				900.0	
Total costs for maintenance of the T	echnology in USD				42.86	
	17					
Average annual rainfall < 250 mm 251-500 mm 501-750 mm 751-1,000 mm 1,001-1,500 mm 1,501-2,000 mm 2,001-3,000 mm 3,001-4,000 mm > 4,000 mm	Agro-climatic zone humid ✓ sub-humid semi-arid arid	Spec Aver Dry s Octo	:ifications on cl age annual raint season from Janu ber, with a heat	l imate fall in mm: 1400 uary to June, Rai wave in August.	.0 ny Season betwo	een June and
Slope flat (0-2%) gentle (3-5%) moderate (6-10%) rolling (11-15%) hilly (16-30%) steep (31-60%) ✓ very steep (>60%)	Landforms plateau/plains ridges mountain slopes inil slopes footslopes valley floors	Altitu 0- 10 ✓ 50 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3,	Altitude 0-100 m a.s.l. 101-500 m a.s.l. ✓ 501-1,000 m a.s.l. 1,001-1,500 m a.s.l. 1,501-2,000 m a.s.l. 2,001-2,500 m a.s.l. 2,501-3,000 m a.s.l. 3,001-4,000 m a.s.l. > 4,000 m a.s.l.		 Technology is applied in convex situations concave situations not relevant 	
Soil depth very shallow (0-20 cm) shallow (21-50 cm) moderately deep (51-80 cm) deep (81-120 cm) very deep (> 120 cm)	Soil texture (topsoil) coarse/ light (sandy) medium (loamy, silty) fine/ heavy (clay)	Soil te surfac co m	Soil texture (> 20 cm below surface) coarse/ light (sandy) medium (loamy, silty) fine/ heavy (clay)		Topsoil organic matter content ✓ high (>3%) medium (1-3%) low (<1%)	
Groundwater table on surface ✓ < 5 m 5-50 m > 50 m	Availability of surface wa excess ✓ good medium poor/ none	ater Water go v pc (tr fo (ir Water	 Water quality (untreated) good drinking water poor drinking water (treatment required) for agricultural use only (irrigation) unusable Water quality refers to: 		Is salinity a problem? Yes No Occurrence of flooding Yes No	
high medium low	Habitat diversity high medium low					
CHARACTERISTICS OF L	AND USERS APPLYI <u>NG</u>	THE TECHN	OLO <u>GY</u>			
Market orientation subsistence (self-supply) mixed (subsistence/ commercial) commercial/ market	Off-farm income less than 10% of all inc ✓ 10-50% of all income > 50% of all income	Relati come ve pc ✓ av ric	ve level of wea ry poor or e rage th ry rich	lth I	evel of mechai manual work animal tracti mechanized/	nization on motorized
 Sedentary or nomadic Sedentary Semi-nomadic Nomadic 	Individuals or groups individual/ household groups/ community cooperative employee (company, government)	Genda vite mi	er omen en		Age children youth middle-aged elderly	
Area used per household < 0.5 ha 0.5-1 ha 1-2 ha	Scale ✓ small-scale ✓ medium-scale large-scale	Land st. co	ownership ate mpany mmunal/ village	I	and use rights open access communal (o leased	(unorganized) rganized)





individual

Water use rights open access (unorganized) communal (organized)

leased leased individual

Access to services and infrastructure health education technical assistance employment (e.g. off-farm) markets energy roads and transport drinking water and sanitation financial services	poor ✓ good poor ✓ good	
IMPACTS		
Socio-economic impacts fodder production	decreased increased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM 100% increase
animal production	decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM Land user did not need to reduce livestock to implement the technology nor increase its numbers
workload	increased / decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM Maintenance
Socio-cultural impacts SLM/ land degradation knowledge	reduced v improved	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
Ecological impacts surface runoff	increased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM
excess water drainage	reduced view view view view view view view view	Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
soil loss	increased decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
nutrient cycling/ recharge	decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
biomass/ above ground C	decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
landslides/ debris flows	increased decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
impacts of cyclones, rain storms	increased decreased	Quantity before SLM: Amount before SLM Quantity after SLM: Amount after SLM
Off-site impacts damage on neighbours' fields	increased Freduced reduced	Quantity before SLM: 0 Quantity after SLM: 2 Before implementation, the speed of water flow damaged the land plots in the lower areas of the site of intervention. Once the fascine drains were set in place, water now filters into the ground at a higher rate, thus its flow speed has decreased and the water flowing towards the stream.
COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS Benefits compared with establishmer	nt costs	

Short-term returns Long-term returns

very negative very positive

very negative very positive

Short-term returns Long-term returns	very negative ve	ry positive ry positive
CLIMATE CHANGE		
Gradual climate change annual temperature increase seasonal temperature increase seasonal rainfall decrease	not well at all	very well very well Season: summer very well Season: summer
Climate-related extremes (disasters) tropical storm extra-tropical cyclone landslide	not well at all	very well very well
ADOPTION AND ADAPTATIO	N	
Percentage of land users in the area Technology ✓ single cases/ experimental 1-10% 11-50% > 50%	who have adopted the	Of all those who have adopted the Technology, how many have done so without receiving material incentives? ✓ 0-10% 11-50% 51-90% 91-100%
 Has the Technology been modified reconditions? Yes Yoo Yoo To which changing conditions? climatic change/ extremes changing markets labour availability (e.g. due to migration) 	ecently to adapt to changing	
CONCLUSIONS AND LESSON	IS LEARNT	
 Strengths: land user's view The Technology protects houses aga and landslides Food is produced for livestock 	inst running surface water	 Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome The livestock can destroy the fascine drains Area must be fenced off and monitored
 Strengths: compiler's or other key reation The Technology prevents soil loss and 	source person's view nd gully formation.	 Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome Land users abandon the land due to migration. Though migration is common, a part of the family usually stays behind and they can sustain the SLM Technology.
REFERENCES		
Compiler Helen Gambon	Editors Anton Jöhr	Reviewer Johanna Jacobi Alexandra Gavilano
Date of documentation: Nov. 24, 2016		Last update: May 6, 2019

Resource persons

Jorge Alberto Argueta - land user Nelin Lorena Acosta Granados - SLM specialist Carlos Rolando Montes Lobo - None

Full description in the WOCAT database

https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_744/

Linked SLM data

Approaches: Participative Slope Stabilization https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/approaches/view/approaches_745/

Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

• Swiss Red Cross (Swiss Red Cross) - Switzerland Project

• Book project: where people and their land are safer - A Compendium of Good Practices in Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) (where people and their land are safer)

Key references

• Local responses to global challenges - community based disaster risk reduction. Experiences from Honduras. Case Study. Swiss Red Cross, May 2016: info@redcross.ch (gratis)

