

A landscape view of a fanya juu terrace stabilized with nappier grass on the upper slope and sweet potatoes on the lower side (Paul Kahiga (8444-00300 Nairobi))

Fanya Juu Terraces - Nappier grass+Swetpotatoes (Kenya)

Fanya Juu Terraces - Nappier grass+Swetpotatoes

DESCRIPTION

Fanya juu terraces stabilized with nappier grass and sweet potatoes are earth bunds created by digging a trench along a contour and throwing the soil up slope to form an embankment that is stabilized with nappier grass and during maintenance phase, the accumulated sediments are removed and thrown on the lower side and stabilized with sweet potatoes.

The cross-sectional profile of a fanya juu terrace comprises an embankment to impound water, soil and nutrients, a storage area above the embankment to prevent overtopping by runoff and a berm or ledge to prevent the embankment soil from sliding bank into the trench. The trench below the embankment may or be retained. A Fanya juu terrace is often the first stage in the development of a bench terrace.

Purpose of the Technology: They effectively reduces slope-length, and hence soil erosion from steep croplands. In some cases, enlarged embankments are made to allow ponding of harvested runoff and, therefore, the structure can be used in water harvesting systems having external catchments. The soil bund retains water and thereby, safeguards yields even during droughts. In Embu County, farmers are very innovative. They ussually stabilize the up slope soil with nappier grass (fodder) and during the maintenance phase, the silt/sediments in the trench is scoped and thrown on the lower side where is stabilized with sweet potatoes vines.

Establishment / maintenance activities and inputs: The construction of fanya juu terraces is labor intensive and involves digging a trench on the contour and throwing the soil up slope to form an embankment. Construction is carried out after laying out pegs on the contour, or at a gradient, as required. A berm of 15cm to 30 cm is left to prevent the embankment soil up slope to form an embankment, which should be stabilized with grass. The excavated trench should be as narrow as possible to reduce wastage of cultivated land. Where the trench can be used for planting tree crops such as bananas, it should be wide enough to accommodate the crop. The embankment should be planted with perennial grass to stabilize it.

Natural / human environment: Fanya juu terraces are popular in smallholder farms particularly in semi arid areas where they are quite effective in conserving moisture and nutrients. They are applicable in areas where soils are too shallow for level bench terracing and on moderately steep slopes (e.g. below 20%) They are not suitable for stony soil. They normally develop into out ward sloping bench terraces after a few years depending on the amount of soil which moves down slope and lodges above the embankment.

LOCATION



Location: Mbere South District, Eastern Province, Kenya

No. of Technology sites analysed:

Geo-reference of selected sites • 37.65791, -0.57822

Spread of the Technology: evenly spread over an area (approx. < 0.1 km2 (10 ha))

In a permanently protected area?:

Date of implementation:

Type of introduction

- through land users' innovation as part of a traditional system (> 50 years)
 - during experiments/ research through projects/ external interventions



The photograph on the right side shows a freshly planted sweet potatoe vines planted during maintenance phase. (Paul Kahiga (8444-00300 Nairobi Kenya))

CLASSIFICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

Main purpose

improve production 1

- reduce, prevent, restore land degradation 1
- conserve ecosystem protect a watershed/ downstream areas – in combination with other Technologies
- preserve/ improve biodiversity reduce risk of disasters
- adapt to climate change/ extremes and its impacts mitigate climate change and its impacts
- create beneficial economic impact 1
- create beneficial social impact

Purpose related to land degradation

- prevent land degradation
- reduce land degradation restore/ rehabilitate severely degraded land ~ adapt to land degradation not applicable

SLM group

• cross-slope measure

Land use



Cropland



Annual cropping: fodder crops - grasses, root/tuber crops sweet potatoes, yams, taro/cocoyam, other Number of growing seasons per year: 1

Water supply



mixed rainfed-irrigated full irrigation

Degradation addressed



soil erosion by water - Wt: loss of topsoil/ surface erosion

SLM measures



structural measures - S2: Bunds, banks

TECHNICAL DRAWING

Technical specifications

The technical drawing on the left hand side shows a typical fanya juu terrace stabilized with nappier grass on the upper slope and sweet potatoes vines on the lower down slope.

Location: Ena Location. Eastern Province Date: 12.11.2012

Technical knowledge required for field staff / advisors: moderate (The extension officers need to demonstrate on how to construct the terraces)

Technical knowledge required for land users: high (The technology is good because it completely reduces soil losses)

Main technical functions: control of concentrated runoff: retain / trap



Author: Paul Kahiga, 8444-00300 Nairobi Kenya

Most important factors affecting the costs

ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE: ACTIVITIES, INPUTS AND COSTS

Calculation of inputs and costs

- Costs are calculated:
- Currency used for cost calculation: Kshs
- Exchange rate (to USD): 1 USD = 100.0 Kshs
- Average wage cost of hired labour per day: 5.00 •

Establishment activities

- 1. Setting out the contours (Timing/ frequency: Initial stages)
- 2. Digging out the trench (Timing/ frequency: After setting the contour)
- 3. Planting nappier grass (Timing/ frequency: on the up slope)
- 4. Removing the sediments (Timing/ frequency: maintenance phase)
- 5. Planting of sweet potatoes (Timing/ frequency: maintenance phase)

Establishment inputs and costs

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (Kshs)	Total costs per input (Kshs)	% of costs borne by land users
Labour					
Labour	ha	1.0	200.0	200.0	100.0
Equipment					
Tools	ha	1.0	25.0	25.0	100.0
Other					
Cuttings	ha	1.0	30.0	30.0	100.0
Total costs for establishment of the Technology				255.0	
Total costs for establishment of the Technology in USD				2.55	

labour

Maintenance activities

n.a.

Maintenance inputs and costs

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (Kshs)	Total costs per input (Kshs)	% of costs borne by land users
Labour					
Labour	ha	1.0	150.0	150.0	100.0
Equipment					
Tools	ha	1.0	150.0	150.0	100.0
Other					
Cuttings	ha	1.0	150.0	150.0	100.0
Total costs for maintenance of the Technology				450.0	
Total costs for maintenance of the Technology in USD				4.5	

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Average annual rainfall

< 250 mm 251-500 mm 501-750 mm 751-1,000 mm 1,001-1,500 mm 1 1,501-2,000 mm Agro-climatic zone humid sub-humid 1 semi-arid arid

Specifications on climate Thermal climate class: subtropics

Slope flat (0-2%) gentle (3-5%) ✓ moderate (6-10%) rolling (11-15%) hilly (16-30%) steep (31-60%) very steep (>60%)	Landforms plateau/plains ridges mountain slopes hill slopes footslopes valley floors	Altitude 0-100 m a.s.l. 101-500 m a.s.l. 501-1,000 m a.s.l. 1,001-1,500 m a.s.l. 1,501-2,000 m a.s.l. 2,001-2,500 m a.s.l. 2,501-3,000 m a.s.l. 3,001-4,000 m a.s.l. > 4,000 m a.s.l.	Technology is applied in convex situations concave situations not relevant
Soil depth very shallow (0-20 cm) shallow (21-50 cm) ✓ moderately deep (51-80 cm) deep (81-120 cm) very deep (> 120 cm)	Soil texture (topsoil) coarse/ light (sandy) medium (loamy, silty) fine/ heavy (clay)	Soil texture (> 20 cm below surface) coarse/ light (sandy) medium (loamy, silty) fine/ heavy (clay)	Topsoil organic matter content high (>3%) ✓ medium (1-3%) low (<1%)
Groundwater table on surface < 5 m ✓ 5-50 m > 50 m	Availability of surface water excess ✓ good medium poor/ none	 Water quality (untreated) good drinking water poor drinking water (treatment required) for agricultural use only (irrigation) unusable Water quality refers to: 	Is salinity a problem? Yes No Occurrence of flooding Yes No
Species diversity high medium low	Habitat diversity high medium low		
CHARACTERISTICS OF L	AND USERS APPLYING THE	TECHNOLOGY	
Market orientation subsistence (self-supply) mixed (subsistence/ commercial) commercial/ market	Off-farm income Iess than 10% of all income 10-50% of all income > 50% of all income	Relative level of wealth very poor poor ✓ average rich very rich	Level of mechanization manual work animal traction mechanized/ motorized
Sedentary or nomadic Sedentary Semi-nomadic Nomadic	Individuals or groups individual/ household groups/ community cooperative employee (company, government)	Gender ✓ women ✓ men	Age children youth middle-aged elderly
Area used per household < 0.5 ha 0.5-1 ha 1-2 ha 2-5 ha 5-15 ha 15-50 ha 50-100 ha 100-500 ha 500-1,000 ha 1,000-10,000 ha > 10,000 ha	Scale small-scale medium-scale large-scale	Land ownership state company communal/ village group individual, not titled individual, titled	Land use rights open access (unorganized) communal (organized) leased ✓ individual Water use rights open access (unorganized) communal (organized) leased ✓ individual
Access to services and infrastru	cture		
education	poor 🚽 🖌 good		
Socio-economic impacts Crop production fodder production land management farm income workload	decreased in in decreased in in hindered in is decreased in in increased in in in increased in in in increased in in in increased in in increased in	creased creased mplified creased ecreased	

Socio-cultural impacts

Ecological impacts

pest/ disease control

soil loss

health situation SLM/ land degradation knowledge

harvesting/ collection of water (runoff, dew, snow, etc)

reduced		1	improved
increased		1	decreased
decreased	1		increased

worsened improved

reduced v improved

Quantity before SLM: moles Quantity after SLM: None Moles

Off-site impacts

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Benefits compared with establish	ment costs			
Short-term returns	very negative	ery positive		
Long-term returns	very negative	ery positive		
Benefits compared with mainten	ance costs			
Short-term returns	very negative 🖌 🗸 v	ery positive		
Long-term returns	ng-term returns very negative very positive			
CLIMATE CHANGE				
Climate-related extremes (disaste local rainstorm	rs)	very well		
ADOPTION AND ADAPTA	ΓΙΟΝ			
Percentage of land users in the a	rea who have adopted the	Of all those who have adopted the Technology, how many have		
Technology		done so without receiving material incentives?		
single cases/ experimental		0-10%		
1-10%		11-50%		
> 50%		91-100%		
lles the Technology have modifie				
conditions?	d recently to adapt to changing			
No				

To which changing conditions?

- climatic change/ extremes
- changing markets
- labour availability (e.g. due to migration)

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

Strengths: land user's view

Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view

- Fanya juu terraces stabilized with nappier grass prevents soil erosion by trapping and concentrating the runoff in the trenches.
- They are source of nappier grass that is used for feeding farm animals.
- They are source of food i.e. sweet potatoes.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

- Danger of soil turning back in the trenches while harvesting the sweet potatoes. Care should be taken to avoid the soil from falling back in the trenches.
- Habitat for pest like moles that are very fond of sweet potatoes Use of appropriate methods to eliminate the moles.

REFERENCES			
Compiler Paul Kahiga	Editors	Reviewer Fabian Ottiger Alexandra Gavilano	
Date of documentation: Feb. 19, 201	5 Last	u pdate : May 6, 2019	
Resource persons Paul Kahiga - SLM specialist Mwangi Gathenya - SLM specialist Patrick Home - SLM specialist Timothy Chege - SLM specialist Abamba Omwange - SLM specialist Baobab Kimengich - SLM specialist Jane Wamuongo - SLM specialist Andrew Karanja - SLM specialist Sara Namirembe - SLM specialist			
Full description in the WOCAT data https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/tech	ibase inologies/view/technologies_1243/		
Linked SLM data n.a.			
Documentation was faciliated by			
 Institution International Centre for Research Jomo Kenyatta University (Jomo Kenyatta University (Jomo Kenyatta University (Jomo Kenyatta University (KARI Headqua Project n.a. 	in Agroforestry (ICRAF) - Kenya enyatta University) - Kenya arters) - Kenya		
This work is licensed under Cre International	ative Commons Attribution-Non	Commercial-ShareaAlike 4.0	© () () ()