

Farmer standing at manure storage (Matjaž Glavan)

Fertilising with farmyard manure (Slovenia)

Gnojenje s hlevskim gnojem

DESCRIPTION

The technology is based on use of livestock manure from dairy cows (excreta and cereals straw) for fertilisation of arable fields with 3-5 year rotation. Manure has a very good effect on soil production capacity and on growth of vegetable crops.

1. The technology is applied in the flatlands of Ljubljana with an average altitude of 350 m.a.s.l. Average annual precipitation is 1400 mm. The area is characterized with often stormy precipitation events and occasional droughts. Silty loam soils in the area are moderately deep to deep with medium soil organic matter. Area has good availability of surface water and groundwater of good drinking quality. The biodiversity of the area is medium without any salinity and flooding problems. Sedentary agriculture with mixed or commercial agriculture is practiced with less than 10% of income coming from off-farm activities. The examined farm household has an average wealth and is fully mechanized/motorized. All farm households have a good access to services and infrastructure. have a good access to services and infrastructure. The examinded farm is medium in scale with land patly owned by the land user and partly leased from other private owners.

2. Main characteristic is the use of livestock manure on arable fields and especially for wegetable production. Manure is composed from solid dairy cows excreta and especially for (wheat, barley) which is used as bedding for cows. Manure is composted for few months (4-6, depends on storage capacity). It is spread before ploughing and then ploughed into the soil. Spreading of manure is done in autumn or in spring. Knowledge on time of spreading and on handling with machines is needed.

3. Main function is increasing organic matter in the soil and also all major nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium etc.). This leads to better (1) productivity due to nutrients slow release, (2) better water holding capacity and (3) decreased soil compaction threat.

4. Major inputs needed to establish are: livestock (animals), stable adapted to the manure collection, use of straw bedding, storage facility (if possible covered with roof), manure spreader, and loading equipment. Major inputs to maintain are: keeping of the livestock herd and maintenance of the machines.

. The benefits are: (1) increase in soil organic matter, (2) increase soil water holding capacity, (3) to maintain soil productivity, (4) increase in yields quantity and quality

6. Land users like: (1) lower cost for mineral fertilisers, (2) impact on soil fertility, (3) it's a Land users dislike: (1) time-consuming - labour hours invested, (2) storage capacity takes

space, (3) costs of transport

LOCATION



Location: Municipality of Dol pri Ljubljani, Slovenia

No. of Technology sites analysed: 2-10 sites

- Geo-reference of selected sites

- 14.63797, 46.09285 14.63651, 46.09029 14.63849, 46.09133 14.65132, 46.08351 14.65132, 46.08351

Spread of the Technology: evenly spread over an area (approx. 0.1-1 km2)

In a permanently protected area?:

Date of implementation: more than 50 years ago (traditional)

Type of introduction

through land users' innovation as part of a traditional system (> 50 years) 1 during experiments/ research

through projects/ external interventions



Spreading manure over cropland (Matjaž Glavan)

CLASSIFICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

Main purpose

- ~ improve production
- 1 reduce, prevent, restore land degradation conserve ecosystem protect a watershed/ downstream areas - in combination with
- other Technologies preserve/ improve biodiversity
- reduce risk of disasters
- adapt to climate change/ extremes and its impacts
- mitigate climate change and its impacts
- create beneficial economic impact 1
- create beneficial social impact

Purpose related to land degradation

prevent land degradation 1

- reduce land degradation 1
- restore/ rehabilitate severely degraded land adapt to land degradation not applicable

Land use



Cropland

Annual cropping: cereals - barley, cereals - maize, cereals - wheat (winter), root/tuber crops - potatoes, root/tuber crops - sugar beet, vegetables - other Number of growing seasons per year: 1

Water supply

- rainfed
- mixed rainfed-irrigated
- full irrigation

Degradation addressed



chemical soil deterioration - Cn: fertility decline and reduced organic matter content (not caused by erosion)



physical soil deterioration - Pc: compaction, Pk: slaking and crusting



biological degradation - Bq: quantity/ biomass decline, Bl: loss of soil life

SLM measures



agronomic measures - A2: Organic matter/ soil fertility

structural measures - S11: Others

management measures - M7: Others

SLM group

- integrated crop-livestock management
- integrated soil fertility management ٠

TECHNICAL DRAWING

Technical specifications



The infographic presents main parts of the technology from livestock originated manure, stored and composted and finally applied over fields. After that manure is incorporated in to the soil with purpose of improving the productivity of soils and for better crop and vegetable yields.



Author: Matjaž Glavan

Most important factors affecting the costs

Labour is the most important. It is followed by livestock herd.

However, herd costs are covered with milk production.

ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE: ACTIVITIES, INPUTS AND COSTS

Calculation of inputs and costs

- Costs are calculated: per Technology area (size and area unit: 28
 hectares)
- Currency used for cost calculation: **EUR (€)**
- Exchange rate (to USD): 1 USD = 0.89 EUR (€)
- Average wage cost of hired labour per day: 50 EUR

Establishment activities

- 1. construction of the storage facility for capacity of 6 month (Timing/ frequency: all year around)
- 2. Purchase of machinery for manure loading (Timing/ frequency: all year around)
- 3. Purchase of machinery for manure transport (Timing/ frequency: all year around)

Establishment inputs and costs (per 28 hectares)

Specify input	Unit	Quantity	Costs per Unit (EUR (€))	Total costs per input (EUR (€))	% of costs borne by land users
Equipment					
Storage facililty for 6 month capacity	pcs	1.0	10000.0	10000.0	100.0
Machine for loading manure	pcs	1.0	10000.0	10000.0	100.0
Machine for transport of manure	pcs	1.0	15000.0	15000.0	100.0
Total costs for establishment of the Technology					
Total costs for establishment of the Technology in USD				39'325.84	

Maintenance activities

1. Labour with daily manure handling (Timing/ frequency: all year around)

2. Labour with transport to the fields (Timing/ frequency: all year around)

3. Maintanance of machines (Timing/ frequency: all year around)

Maintenance inputs and costs (per 28 hectares)

Specify input			Costs por Unit	Total costs	% of costs
	Unit	Quantity		per input	borne by land
			(EUK (€))	(EUR (€))	users

Labour						
Manure handling every day		EUR/bour	182.5	6 25	1140.63	100.0
Transport to the fields		EUR/hour	30.0	6.25	187.5	100.0
Equipment			30.0	5.20		
Maintanance of machines		EUR/hour	8.0	6.25	50.0	100.0
Total costs for maintenance of the	Technology	<u> </u>			1'378.13	
Total costs for maintenance of the 1	Fechnology in USD				1'548.46	
NATURAL ENVIRONMEN	JT					
Average annual rainfall < 250 mm 251-500 mm 501-750 mm 751-1,000 mm 1,501-2,000 mm 2,001-3,000 mm 3,001-4,000 mm > 4,000 mm	Agro-climatic zone humid ✓ sub-humid semi-arid arid	Spe Ave Ave Maj Nar Stro	cifications on clim rage annual rainfall regae rainfall perior ority of rain in autu ne of the meteorolo ng summer tunder	ate in mm: 1352. d (1991-2000), mn, followed gical station: storms and sh	0 by summer, sprin Ljubljana - Bežigr owers. Local pred	g and winter. ad cipitation.
<pre>Slope flat (0-2%) gentle (3-5%) moderate (6-10%) rolling (11-15%) hilly (16-30%) steep (31-60%) very steep (>60%)</pre>	Landforms plateau/plains ridges mountain slopes hill slopes footslopes valley floors	Altitu 0 ✓ 1 5 1 1 2 2 2 3 3	rde -100 m a.s.l. 01-500 m a.s.l. 01-1,000 m a.s.l. .001-1,500 m a.s.l. .501-2,000 m a.s.l. .001-2,500 m a.s.l. .501-3,000 m a.s.l. .001-4,000 m a.s.l. 4,000 m a.s.l.	T	convex situati concave situati not relevant	plied in ons :ions
Soil depth very shallow (0-20 cm) shallow (21-50 cm) moderately deep (51-80 cm) deep (81-120 cm) very deep (> 120 cm)	Soil texture (topsoil) coarse/ light (sandy) medium (loamy, silty) fine/ heavy (clay)	Soil t surfa	exture (> 20 cm be ce) barse/ light (sandy) hedium (loamy, silty ne/ heavy (clay)	elow T)	iopsoil organic r high (>3%) medium (1-3%) low (<1%)	natter content)
Groundwater table on surface < 5 m ✓ 5-50 m > 50 m	r table Availability of surface water excess good medium poor/ none		 Water quality (untreated) ✓ good drinking water poor drinking water (treatment required) for agricultural use only (irrigation) unusable Water quality refers to: 		Is salinity a problem? Yes No Occurrence of flooding Yes No	
Species diversity high ✓ medium low	Habitat diversity high medium low					
CHARACTERISTICS OF L	AND USERS APPLYING		IOLOGY			
Market orientation subsistence (self-supply) mixed (subsistence/ commercial) commercial/ market	Off-farm income ✓ less than 10% of all income 10-50% of all income > 50% of all income	come v a ri	ive level of wealth ery poor oor verage ch ery rich	L	evel of mechan manual work animal tractio mechanized/ r	i zation n notorized
Sedentary or nomadic Sedentary Semi-nomadic Nomadic	Individuals or groups individual/ household groups/ community cooperative employee (company, government)	Gend r	er omen ien	A	ge children youth middle-aged elderly	
Area used per household < 0.5 ha 0.5-1 ha 1-2 ha 2-5 ha ✓ 5-15 ha ✓ 15-50 ha	Scale small-scale medium-scale large-scale	∠and ✓ si ⊂ ca gr ir ✓ ir	ownership sate ompany ommunal/ village oup idividual, not titled idividual, titled		and use rights open access (u communal (org leased individual Vater use rights open access (u	unorganized) ganized) unorganized)

Wocat SLM Technologies

Fertilising with farmyard manure



communal (organized) leased individual

Access to services and infrastructure

health	poor	~	good
education	poor	1	good
technical assistance	poor	1	good
employment (e.g. off-farm)	poor	~	good
markets	poor	~	good
energy	poor	~	good
roads and transport	poor	~	good
drinking water and sanitation	poor	1	good
financial services	poor	1	good
None	poor	1	good

IMPACTS

Socio-economic impacts		
Crop production	decreased	1
crop quality	decreased	1
fodder production	decreased	1
fodder quality	decreased	1
product diversity		



increased 🖌 🖌 decreased

increased increased increased increased

land management	hindered	1		simplified
expenses on agricultural inputs	increased		1	decreased
farm income	decreased		1	increased
diversity of income sources				
	decreased		1	increased

workload

Socio-cultural impacts

Ecological impacts			
soil moisture	decreased	1	increased
soil accumulation	decreased	1	increased
soil crusting/ sealing	increased	1	reduced
soil compaction	increased	1	reduced
nutrient cycling/ recharge	decreased	1	increased
soil organic matter/ below ground C	decreased	1	increased
beneficial species (predators, earthworms, pollinators) drought impacts	decreased	/	increased
	increased	1	decreased

Farmer observed that soils with regular application of manure have better ability to retain soil moisture, reducing impacts of drought.

Organic manure is crucial in vegetable production. The examinded farm would already have stopped the livestock

production but then he would lack manure as crucial component for the vegetable production. Few years ago the land user only produced raw milk but as market demand for vegetable increased he started with this type of production.

Organic manure is crucial in vegetable production. Few

started with this type of production too..

years ago the examined land user only produced raw milk but as the market demand for vegetable increased he

Off-site	im	pacts
----------	----	-------

groundwater/ river pollution

increased 🖌 🖌 reduced

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS
Benefits compared with establishment costs
Short-term returns very negative very positive
Long-term returns very negative very positive
Benefits compared with maintenance costs
Short-term returns very negative very positive
Long-term returns very negative very positive

CLIMATE CHANGE

Gradual climate change

seasonal temperature increase seasonal temperature increase seasonal rainfall decrease

seasonal temperature increase	not well at all	II Season: autumn
seasonal rainfall decrease	not well at all very we	II Season: summer Answer: not known
Climate-related extremes (disasters)		
local rainstorm	not well at all very we	II Answer: not known
local thunderstorm	not well at all 📃 📃 very we	II Answer: not known
local hailstorm	not well at all very we	II Answer: not known
heatwave	not well at all 🚽 🖌 very we	11
cold wave	not well at all 📃 📃 very we	II Answer: not known
extreme winter conditions	not well at all 📃 📃 very we	II Answer: not known
drought	not well at all 🚽 🖌 very we	II

not well at all

ADOPTION AND ADAPTATION

Percentage of land users in the area who have adopted the Technology

d –	

Has the Technology been modified recently to adapt to changing conditions?

co	n	α	τ	0	n
		Ye	es	5	

🗸 No

To which changing conditions?

climatic change/ extremes

- changing markets
- labour availability (e.g. due to migration)

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNT

Strengths: land user's view

- Strengths: Mitigates drought. Use of mineral fertilisers is decreased. If fields are fertilised with organic livestock manure, the impact of spring drought on plant growth is minimal.
- Opportunities: Livestock manure is a basic fertiliser for vegetable production. Customers are asking farmer what he is using for fertilisation. They trust more to the product if it is fertilised with organic manure produced at the farm.

Strengths: compiler's or other key resource person's view

- Strengths: increased levels of organic content.
- Slow release of nutrients.
- Better water holding capacity, especially in early spring.

Of all those who have adopted the Technology, how many have done so without receiving material incentives?

	0-10%
	11-50%
	51-90%
1	91-100%

Season: summer

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: land user's viewhow to overcome

• Weakness: Costs of labour They are investing in to mechanisation of the process.

Weaknesses/ disadvantages/ risks: compiler's or other key resource person's viewhow to overcome

- Weaknesses: More labour work. Investing in machines with larger capacity
- Risk: uncontroled release of nutrients into groundwater They have to put lower quantity of more manure at one spreading. If they have vegetable production they can add two or three times in a year.

REFERENCES

Compiler Matjaz Glavan Editors

Reviewer Ursula Gaemperli Gudrun Schwilch Alexandra Gavilano

Date of documentation: June 11, 2017

Resource persons Matjaz Glavan - land user

Full description in the WOCAT database https://qcat.wocat.net/en/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_2824/

Linked SLM data

n.a.

Documentation was faciliated by

Institution

• Department for Agronomy, University of Ljubljana - Slovenia Project

• Interactive Soil Quality assessment in Europe and China for Agricultural productivity and Environmental Resilience (EU-iSQAPER)

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareaAlike 4.0 International



Last update: June 20, 2019