



Camp of Arab camel herders during their seasonal migration (Project Almy Al Afia)

Securing the mobility of pastoralism through consultation and access to water sources ()

Project Almy Al Afia

Securing the mobility of pastoralism through access to water sources (open wells and ponds in pastoral areas) and marking the livestock routes for transhumance: the case of the project Almy Al Afia in Chad and its consultative approach.


Livestock keeping is one of the main economic resources in Chad (in support of 40% of the population and 18% of the GDP, Ministry of Livestock, General census). Pastoralism in the country is based on the mobility of herds in a context of irregular precipitation and variable forage resources in time and space, and benefits from complementary relationships between the different ecological zones. In Chad, herds are taken in regular movements with the seasons between the Sahelian and the Sudanese grazing areas. The former are nutritious but limited in quantity, while the latter are more abundant but of lower quality, and not accessible until the fields are cleared after the harvest (meta-evaluation of projects on pastoral water sources, IIED, 2013). Thus, pastoral livestock keeping is founded on mobility and rangeland management, and on building complementary relationships and trade around farming systems and cultivated areas. The pastoralist systems are economically competitive (limited use of food inputs), and occur in marginal land which is characterized by conflicts, riots and a high level of insecurity (Conference of N'Djamena: 'Pastoral livestock keeping: a sustainable contribution to development and security in Saharan and Sahelian regions'). In the pastoral zone of Chad, where access to water is limited, the management and control of water sources by a social group in practice also leads to the monitoring and control of the use of grazing land which becomes available when water is present.

The project Almy Al Afia (2004-2016), developed by a partnership between the AFD and the Ministry of Water of Chad, operated in two regions of central Chad. The project Almy Al Afia was based on an entry 'development', concurrently with a process to consult and involve joint agencies. The project has improved approaches of preceding initiatives: concerted action and identification of water sources derived from the dialogue between users and authorities, and development of the local management of infrastructures and rangeland. The latter counteracts an exclusively private management or, instead, an ineffective public management which promotes free access to water sources and grazing land.

The project has enabled to address the following points:

1. Support mobility in pastoralism by enhancing the access to water (rehabilitation and construction of 160 wells; digging of 31 ponds for pastoral use);
2. Maintain or build processes of consultation and restoring security (joint committees for consultation and prevention of conflicts during transhumance);
3. Promote the proper use of water supply structures, in time and space (rehabilitated and new wells, excavated ponds) by context-specific management (strengthening of traditional management systems) and encourage the maintenance of infrastructure.

The pastoral ponds should be constructed in locations of existing water sources (natural ponds in suitable places, i.e. with a clayey soil capable to retain water). The existing water source is enlarged and improved by rural engineering (enlargement of the surface, deepening). The wells are rehabilitated. Most wells were constructed several decades ago and are severely damaged. The water supply structures all have different and complementary functions. The deep wells in the pastoral zone are generally used throughout the year, and are overexploited. The way in which these structures are managed is strongly anchored in the region. The District officer delegates the management to 'Heads of Wells'. These old wells, which are used day and night, are often in a poor condition. Rehabilitating degraded wells is given priority over digging new wells because of the substantial potential for conflict. The water supply structures in areas of dry forest are less old and smaller in number. These wells are less frequently used and function as an alternative water source when the traditional ponds, water reservoirs and wells have dried up. They allow to delay the movement of the herds towards grazing areas in the Sahelian zone.



: Although the sites where the technology was applied are at the local scale, the project has considered pastoralism and the relationships between the two regions at the broader landscape scale., Regions of Batha and of Guéra,

1000	: 100-
• 18.33618, 13.2239	
• 18.69324, 12.1736	
2)	: (approx. 10-100
	?:
	: 2018
✓	(> 50)
✓	/

A photograph of a desert landscape under a clear blue sky. In the foreground, a large, white, conical structure, possibly a sand bunker or a piece of military equipment, is partially visible. In the middle ground, another similar structure is visible, surrounded by sparse, dry vegetation and a few small trees. The terrain is sandy and arid.

/

/

/

:

/

- Wt:

- Et: , Eo:

- Ps:

- Bc: /

, Bq:

Hg: - Hs: , Hp:

, Hq:

- (pastoralism)



- S8: /

-



- M2: /
 , M3:

The wells (new and rehabilitated) and the demarcation of the livestock routes are the outcome of a long process of outreach. The communications between the local level (taking account of the views of future users) and the level of decision-making (administration) enable social agreements to be formalized. These agreements set the rules for the selection of the locations of the water supply structures, their management and maintenance.


Complémentarité dans l'utilisation des différentes ressources hydrauliques et pastorales



Kharif (saison des pluies)




Chité (fin saison des pluies)



Rouchach (début saison des pluies)



Darai (début saison sèche)



Seyf (saison sèche)

Source : capitalisation de la seconde phase du projet Almy Al Afia

Author: Project Almy Al Afia

- Structure (new well, rehabilitation or km of markings) (FCFA)
- FCFA () 1 USD =
- FCFA 1000 FCFA

The costs of the constructions are highly dependent on their location (costs for the supply and disposal of equipment and materials), on the price of inputs (cement, etc.), and especially on the type of structure (depth of the wells, geological environment). The costs of the supply and disposal of equipment and materials include costs for the installation of the structures (water, cement, labour, machinery) on the construction sites (which are often far away from routes and towns), and costs for the disposal of the equipment after the construction is completed. The costs of supply and disposal can be significant with respect to the costs of the structure itself.





1. Outreach / awareness raising (/ : Four to six meetings prior to the signing of the social agreements)
2. Construction of the facilities (/ : Four to six months, depending on the type of structure and its depth)
3. Monitoring the management (/ : Regular visits of the project team to support the implementation of adapted management practices)

			(FCFA)	(FCFA)	%
Rehabilitated wells (mean depth 56 m)	1	93,0	10497939,0	976308327,0	
Geophysical assessment for new wells	1	158,0	17979914,0	2840826412,0	

Exploration drilling for new wells (mean depth 96 m)	1	220,0	6005415,0	1321191300,0	
New wells (mean depth 45 m)	1	62,0	45145740,0	2799035880,0	
Pastoral ponds (6000 m3 on average)	1	31,0	23008065,0	713250015,0	
Markers (8 signs / km)	1	492,0	1069203,0	526047876,0	
Outreach on new wells (/site)	1	62,0	213428,0	13232536,0	
Outreach on rehabilitation (/site)	1	93,0	248695,0	23128635,0	
Outreach on marking (/km)	1	492,0	52088,0	25627296,0	
				9'238'648'277.0	
				9'238'648'277.0	

1. Mobilising indigenous groups for day-to-day maintenance of structures (dredging, cleaning) (/ : Depending on the type of structure (generally monthly))

			(FCFA)	(FCFA)	%
Support missions for the management and maintenance of the water supply structures (2 missions per structure for the entire project)	1	155,0	53000,0	8215000,0	
Support mission for the management and maintenance of the markings	1	100,0	53000,0	5300000,0	
				13'515'000.0	
				13'515'000.0	



<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	SLM	
<div> <div>()</div> <div>✓ () /</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓ 10%</div> <div>10-50% 50%</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>
<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>
<div> <div>< 0.5</div> <div>0.5-1</div> <div>1-2</div> <div>2-5</div> <div>5-15</div> <div>15-50</div> <div>50-100</div> <div>100-500</div> <div>500-1,000</div> <div>1,000-10,000</div> <div>✓ > 10,000</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>
<div> <div>(.)</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>		
SLM: n/a			
SLM: n/a			
<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>Expansion of the areas covered by water supply points.</div> <div>Reduced closure of water supply points (rehabilitation),</div> <div>opening-up of new grazing land, securing the movement of</div> <div>livestock and people.</div> </div>
SLM: n/a			
SLM: n/a			
<div> <div>/</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>Preserving the capacity of herders and their families to</div> <div>move, to choose their trajectories rather than responding</div> <div>to imposed conditions.</div> </div>
SLM: n/a			
SLM: n/a			
<div> <div>/</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>Upgrading of traditional management systems of water</div> <div>supply structures.</div> </div>
SLM: n/a			
SLM: n/a			
<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>
<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>	<div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> </div>

<p>Reduction of the impacts of the concentration of livestock and people in small areas. Promotes the complementary relations between the zones (pressure relief in some zones and use and maintenance of other zones), and over the seasons.</p>	<p>Reduction of the impacts of the concentration of livestock and people in small areas. Promotes the complementary relations between the zones (pressure relief in some zones and use and maintenance of other zones), and over the seasons.</p>	<p>Reduction of the impacts of the concentration of livestock and people in small areas. Promotes the complementary relations between the zones (pressure relief in some zones and use and maintenance of other zones), and over the seasons.</p>	<p>Reduction of the impacts of the concentration of livestock and people in small areas. Promotes the complementary relations between the zones (pressure relief in some zones and use and maintenance of other zones), and over the seasons.</p>
<p>(-springs)</p>	<p>(-springs)</p>	<p>SLM: n/a SLM: n/a</p>	<p>Increased access to groundwater through the rehabilitation of wells and the construction of new wells.</p>
<p>The profitability is considered in relation to the number of animals/herds involved. The costs of construction and rehabilitation are certainly significant, but the water supply structures are used for thousands of animals (in case of the most heavily used wells); most animals drink every two days. Therefore the costs per head of livestock are limited. The wells are long lasting, and therefore the returns are positive in the short and the long term.</p>	<p>The profitability is considered in relation to the number of animals/herds involved. The costs of construction and rehabilitation are certainly significant, but the water supply structures are used for thousands of animals (in case of the most heavily used wells); most animals drink every two days. Therefore the costs per head of livestock are limited. The wells are long lasting, and therefore the returns are positive in the short and the long term.</p>	<p>The profitability is considered in relation to the number of animals/herds involved. The costs of construction and rehabilitation are certainly significant, but the water supply structures are used for thousands of animals (in case of the most heavily used wells); most animals drink every two days. Therefore the costs per head of livestock are limited. The wells are long lasting, and therefore the returns are positive in the short and the long term.</p>	<p>The profitability is considered in relation to the number of animals/herds involved. The costs of construction and rehabilitation are certainly significant, but the water supply structures are used for thousands of animals (in case of the most heavily used wells); most animals drink every two days. Therefore the costs per head of livestock are limited. The wells are long lasting, and therefore the returns are positive in the short and the long term.</p>
<p>()</p>	<p>()</p>	<p>()</p>	<p>()</p>
<p>1-10% 11-50% > 50%</p>	<p>1-10% 11-50% > 50%</p>	<p>0-10% 11-50% 51-90% 91-100%</p>	<p>0-10% 11-50% 51-90% 91-100%</p>
<p>The technology responds to a substantial need, but also corresponds to the capacity of land users to use and maintain the structures. The energy supply is provided by animal traction, and does not require external energy sources.</p>	<p>The technology responds to a substantial need, but also corresponds to the capacity of land users to use and maintain the structures. The energy supply is provided by animal traction, and does not require external energy sources.</p>	<p>The technology responds to a substantial need, but also corresponds to the capacity of land users to use and maintain the structures. The energy supply is provided by animal traction, and does not require external energy sources.</p>	<p>The technology responds to a substantial need, but also corresponds to the capacity of land users to use and maintain the structures. The energy supply is provided by animal traction, and does not require external energy sources.</p>
<p>Permanent access to water. Reopening of water supply structures and consolidation of access to water at some degraded sites. Agencies and authorities for conflict prevention. Marking of sections of livestock corridors with conflict situations.</p>	<p>Permanent access to water. Reopening of water supply structures and consolidation of access to water at some degraded sites. Agencies and authorities for conflict prevention. Marking of sections of livestock corridors with conflict situations.</p>	<p>Interventions are limited with regard to the needs (rehabilitation in particular). By larger investments and better integration of the approach in public action.</p>	<p>Interventions are limited with regard to the needs (rehabilitation in particular). By larger investments and better integration of the approach in public action.</p>

:

- Full commitment of groups (access to water is a major problem).
- Continuation of the approach through the development of other projects and inclusion at the national level.

- There is a need to extend the approach, in particular the support to the consultative bodies. Formalize support to the consultation process.

/ / :

- Recognition of the experiences, the approach and the methodology in other interventions. Outreach and awareness raising are performed during the project, but at the end the management of the infrastructure is no longer supported. The government should be able to follow up on the support (mechanism for monitoring and maintenance). Formalize support to the consultation process.
- There is a need to mainstream outreach and consultation (lengthy process). Formalize support to the consultation process.

Editors

Bonnet Bernard

Donia Mühlematter
Rima Mekdaschi Studer
Simone Verzandvoort
Joana Eichenberger

: 18

2018

: 2

2021

BONNET Bernard -

SLM

https://qcat.wocat.net/km/wocat/technologies/view/technologies_3356/

SLM

•

- Book project: Guidelines to Rangeland Management in Sub-Saharan Africa (Rangeland Management)

- Capitalisation des enseignements de la deuxième phase du projet Almy Al Afia, Main document, DHP, Antea/Iram, March 2016: Republic of Chad, General Secretariat, Ministry of Water, Directorate of Pastoral Water Resources
- Document de Suivi-Evaluation des activités du PHPTC II, tableau de bord des activités du projet, DHP, Antea/Iram, mars 2016: Republic of Chad, General Secretariat, Ministry of Water, Directorate of Pastoral Water Resources
- Note Entretiens Techniques du PRAPS, Accès et gestion durable des espaces pastoraux (chemins de transhumance, aires de pâturages et de repos), PRAPS, 2016, B. Bonnet, A. H. Dia, P. Ndiaye, I. Touré: Republic of Chad, General Secretariat, Ministry of Water, Directorate of Pastoral Water Resources
- Evaluation et capitalisation de 20 ans d'intervention du Groupe AFD portant sur le secteur de l'Hydraulique Pastorale au Tchad, IIED, May 2013, S. Krättli, M. Monimart, B. Jallo, J. Swift, C. Hesse: Republic of Chad, General Secretariat, Ministry of Water, Directorate of Pastoral Water Resources

- Platform on pastoralism in Chad: www.plateforme-pastorale-tchad.org/
- Website of PRAPS-TD: www.praps.cilss.int/index.php/praps-pays-tchad/
- Website of Iram: <https://www.iram-fr.org/elevage-pastoralisme-et-hydraulique-pastorale.html>
- AFD in Chad: <http://www.afd.fr/fr/page-region-pays/tchad>

This work is licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

